## Vasubandhu on Paksa

## Kazuhiko YAMAMOTO

I. Vasubandhu uses the expression *pakşa* in many places, basically in two senses. One is the original meaning. The other is a logical sense. The aim of this paper is to clarify the meaning of *pakşa* as used by Vasubandhu in the logical sense. Vasubandhu defines *pakşa* as "*pakşo vicāranāyām işto 'rthah*" in the Vādavidhi and "*pakşo yaḥ sādhayitum iştah*" in the Vādavidhāna.<sup>1)</sup> There are two interpretations of *pakşa* in the Vādavidhi snd Vādavidhāna. Ganganatha Jha interpreted *pakşa* as "subject".<sup>2)</sup> But Erich Frauwallner translated *pakşa* as "*these*".<sup>3)</sup> To identify the meaning of *pakşa* in there two books, I study the logical texts both before and after Vasubandhu, for a historical approach is necessary.

II. The usage of *pakṣa* in the *Carakasamhitā* is, basically, the original meaning of the word, and we can get an indication of the logical usage of *pakṣa* there.<sup>4)</sup> But we cannot say that the *Carakasamhitā* establishes *pakṣa* as a logical term, because later logicians i.e., Gautama and Vātsyāyana, do not follow the usage of this text. When Akṣapāda Gautama uses *pakṣa*, he uses *pakṣa/pratipakṣa* or *svapakṣa/parapakṣa.*<sup>5)</sup> Those usages mean the "side". And Paksilasvāmin Vātsyāyana's usage of *pakṣa* is the same as Gautama's.<sup>6)</sup> We cannot find any logical usage of *pakṣa* in the *Nyāyasātra* and *Nyāyabhāṣya*.

III. Dignāga used *pakṣa* in a logical sense after Vasubandhu. His *pakṣa* includes three logical meanings i.e., "proposition", "probandum", and "subject".<sup>7)</sup> But he mainly uses *pakṣa* as "proposition". The Buddhists use *pakṣa* as "proposition". And the Naiyāyikas use *pratijñā* as "proposition" in a tradition from the *Nyāyasūtra*.<sup>8)</sup> An opponent of Dignāga asks Dignāga, "why do you use *pakṣa* as subject, in spite of the fact that you have used *pakṣa* as proposition before?".<sup>9)</sup> The opponent did not ask the

## (24) Vasubandhu on Paksa (K. YAMAMOTO)

reason for using *pakṣa* as "proposition" when Dignāga did so, but he asked the reason for using *pakṣa* as "subject" when Dignāga used *pakṣa* as thus. This indicates the logicians used *pakṣa* as "proposition", and that other usages were not popular at the time of Dignāga. The main usage of *pakṣa* by Dignāga was proposition, although there were three usages of *pakṣa* i. e., proposition, probandum, and subject. It is doubtful that Vasubandhu, who preceded Dignāga, used *pakṣa* as other than "proposition", because this was the first stage of *pakṣa* as a logical term when the usage of *pakṣa* was not confirmed in the logical sense.

IV. Pakṣa has many meanings. Originally, it was employed to mean "side" by the Carakasamhitā, Nyāyasūtra, Nyāyabhāṣya, and Yogācārabhūmi. In the second stage, Vasubandhu establishes pakṣa's logical usage.<sup>10)</sup> In the third, Dignāga attributes three logical meanings i.e., proposition, probandum, and subject, to pakṣa. Furthermore, Uddyotakara confirms pakṣa as "subject". The Buddhists use pakṣa as "proposition", as employed by Vasubandhu, and the Naiyāyikas use pratijñā as "proposition" following Gautama. Both the Buddhists and Naiyāyikas kept those traditions. We may assume that Vasubandhu's meaning of pakṣa in the logical usage is "proposition", for two reasons (1) the Buddhists hardly ever use pakṣa as other than "proposition", and (2) we cannot find uses of pakṣa such as "probandum" or "subject", other than "proposition" because the days of Vasubandhu represented the first stage of pakṣa as a logical term.

Frauwallner, Erich, "Vasubandhu's Vādavidhi", WZKS 1, 1957, p. 135. And "Zu den Fragmenten buddhistischer Logiker im Nyāyavārttikam", WZKM 40, 1933, pp. 300f.

<sup>2)</sup> Jha, Ganganatha, Trans. *The Nyāya Philosophy*. Indian Thought Series 7, Allahabad, 1915, pp. 331-342.

<sup>3)</sup> Frauwallner 1957, p. 730.

<sup>4)</sup> Carakasamhitā, with the Ayurvedadīpikā Commentary of Cakrapānidatta and with Vidyotinī Hindi Commentary by Pt. Kasinatha Sastri, ed. Gangasahaya Pandeya, KSS 194, Varanasi, 1969, part I, pp.636 and 647. Cf. Ui, Hakuju, "Caraka-Honshu ni okeru Ronrisetsu" (Logic in the Carakasamhitā), Indo-Tetsugaku Kenkyu (Indian Philosophy Studies) 2, Tokyo, 1923, pp.445f. It is

(25)

necessary to re-edit the Vādamaryādāpadāni in the Carakasamhitā, because there are some discrepancies between Ui, H. edition and Pandeya, G. edition.

- Nyāyasūtra 1.1.41, 1.2.1, 1.2.3, 2.2.28, 4.2.49, 5.1.17, 5.1.21, 5.1.43, and
  5.2.20 (CSS 18 and 19, Calcutta, 1936-44).
- 6) Vātsyāyana explains pakşa as supporting statement and pratipakşa as rejecting statement, i.e. sthāpanā sādhanam, pratişedha upālambhah. tau sādhanopalāmbhau pakşapratipakşāśrayau vyatişaktav anubandhena pravarttamānau pakşapratipakşav iti ucyate. Nyāyabhāşya, Calcutta ed. p. 329. This is the same interpretation as Yogācārabhūmi, i.e. svapakşasthāpanato parapakşadūşaņato. Yogācārabhūmi, ed. Pt. Jagaisvara Pandeya, Nalanda, 1986, p. 336.
- 7) Katsura, Shoryu, "A STUDY OF THE NYAYAMUKHA", The Hiroshima University Studies, Literature Department 37, 1977, pp. 121f. Cf. Kitagawa, Hidenori, Indo Koten-Ronrigaku no Kenkyu (A Study of Classical Logic in India), Tokyo, 1965, pp. 126-38.
- 8) Stcherbatsky, Th., Buddhist Logic Vol. II, Leninglad, 1930, p. 161. Another example of the difference between Buddhists and Naiyāyikas is Nāgārjuna's use of āgama as "verbal instrument" and Gautama's use of śabda to express the same meaning. Vide Vigrahavyāvartanī, ed. E.H. Johnston and A. Kunst, Delhi, Sec. ed. 1986, p. 63, i.e. pratyakṣānumānopamānāgamānām caturnām pramānānām. And Nyāyasātra 1.1.3, i.e. pratyakṣānumānopamānaśabdah pramānānān. I do not mention the difference between pakṣa as "proposition" and pratijñā here. Prof. Kitagawa states that pakṣa is "proposition" and pratijñā is "verbalized proposition". Vide Kitagawa 1965, p. 137. Prof. Katsura states that pakṣa is "contents of a proposition" and pratijñā is "proposition" Vide Katsura, Shoryu, "The Origin and Development of the Concept of Vyāpti in Indian Logic", The Hiroshima University Studies, Faculty of Letters, 1986, pp. 53 and 55.
- 9) Katsura 1977, pp. 120f. Cf. Kitagawa 1965, pp. 128f. and 150-53.
- 10) vidyāvidyābhyām cāvirodhān nāsti rūpam darśanaprahātavyam. sādhya eşa pakṣah. Abhidharmakośabhāṣya, ed. P. Pradhan, Patna, 1967, 2nd ed. 1975, p. 203. Cf. Funahashi, Issai, Japanese Trans. Kusharonno Genten-Kaimei, Kyoto: Hozokan, 1987, p. 111. Pakṣa carries two meanings, i.e. "side" and "proposition". Cf. AKBh. pp. 43, 93, 123, 133, 195, 212, 226, 262, 271, 297, 324, 365, 469, and 472.

I express my gratitude to Prof. Shoryu Katsura, Hiroshima University and Prof. Shiro Matsumoto, Komazawa University, for their valuable advice concerning this problem.

<Key Words> Vasubandhu, Vādavidhi, Vādavidhāna, pakṣa.

(Graduate Student, Otani University)