A Reference to Maga in the Tibetan Translation of the *Tarkajvālā*

Shinjo Kawasaki

For a study of theories and practices of the Brahmanical, as well as the non-Brahmanical, religious schools in India around the fifth century, the *Madhya-maka-hṛdaya-kārikā* (hereinafter referred to as *MHK*) of Bhavya or Bhāvaviveka (490-570ca.) and his auto-commentary *Tarkajvālā* (*TJ*) provide much valuable information. In the ninth chapter: *Mīmāṃsā-tattva-nirṇaya-avatāra*, of these two works, which discusses the doctrinal differences between the Mīmāṃsakas and the Buddhists, we come across a noteworthy reference to the book of Maga (maga-śāstra).

"And this Veda is conjectured to be a work of a wicked person. Because it teaches such unmoral deeds as harming of living things and drinking of wine. Like the book of Maga."

/anumeyaś ca vedo 'yam asat-purusa-kartṛkaḥ/

/skye bu mi bzan bas byas par/ /rig byed ḥdi ni gshal bya ste/

/bhūta-hinsā-surāpāna-kriyôkter maga-śāstravat/ (MHK IX, 31)1)

/hbyun po htshe dan chan hthun brdsun/ /smra phyir ma gahi bstan bcos bshin/

This verse in MHK is commented in TJ, which is only available in the Tibetan translation, as follows:²⁾

"Maga and so on are the followers of a perverted belief (vrata), i.e. Persians and others who live in the land of barbarians (mleccha). Their teachings (siddhānta) are known to be like these:

¹⁾ This Sanskrit verse in MHK is offered by Prof. V. V. Gokhale of Poona. It is a copy, made by his own handwriting, from what Rev. Sāmkṛtyāyana copied at Sha-lu monastery in Tibet. The present writer remains thankful to Prof. Gokhale's kind guidance and his generous permission for the use and publication of this material.

The Tibetan translation "brdsun" suggests "mithyā" or "mṛṣā" for "kṛiyā."

(15) A Reference to Maga in the Tibetan Translation (S. Kawasaki)

As they do harm to the earth, killing of ants and others does not go against morality.

By killing bulls and piling their horns, one can hope to reach heaven. In the same way, by burning the heart of beasts and with the smoke out of it, one will be born in the highest dwelling place in the utmost heaven, and so on.

In the same way, as all women are similar to mill-stone, flower, fruit, cooked food, descending steps for a bathing place, roads, and others, it is not right to say that one's mother, sisters, daughters, and so forth are unproper as one's object of sexual intercourse.

The doctrines of Maga with the assertions above-mentioned have many points fairly common to the teachings of the Vedas. It is because the following assertions are made by the proponents of the Vedas: Such deeds as harming of others (hinsā) taught in the Vedas, although they are denunciated in other books, do not constitute a sin as we can learn from those born in other lands.: 'Honey should be collected.' 'A bull should be slain, too.' Or in the same way, 'A man, horse, bull or sheep should be consecrated in the fire to death.' Or again, 'They should be choked to death in a state of unconsciousness.' Or, 'In the

²⁾ The Tibetan text: sDe dge bstan ḥgyur, dBu ma (dsa) 281b1—; sNar than bstan ḥgyur, dBu ma (dsa) 304b5—; Peking bstan ḥgyur, dBu ma (dsa) 318a4—. The present text is based on the sDe dge edition.

⁽D281b1)/ ma ga la sogs pa phyin ci log gi brtul shugs can/ par sig la sogs kla kloḥi gnas na gnas pa de dag gi grub paḥi mthaḥ ni ḥdi ltar grags te/ sa la gnod pa byed pa yin paḥi phyir/ grog ma la sogs pa bsad pa ni chos ma yin pa ma yin no// ba lan bsad na rva brtsegs pa la brten nas mtho ris su hgrobar hgyur ro// de bshin du phyugs gyi sñin bsregs pas bdugs na gnas mthon po gon maḥi nam mkhar skye bar ḥgyur ro shes bya ba lta bu dan/ (D281b3)/ de bshin du bud med thams cad ni gtun dan/ me tog dan hbras bu sogs pa dan g'yos zin paḥi zas dan khrus bya baḥi ḥbab stegs dan lam shes bya ba la dan hdra ba yin pas ma dan/ srin mo dan/ bu mo la sogs pa la bgrod par bya ba ma yin no shes zer ba ni legs pa ma yin no shes smra bar byed paḥi ma gahi grub pahi mthah de dan rig byed la khyad par ci shig yod de/ gan gi phyir rig byed pas smras pa/ rig byed htshe ba gan bstan pa// bstan bcos gshan du smad gyur kyaṅ// des ni sdig byas mi ḥgyur bar// gshan du skye ba dag gis bstan to shes bya ba la sogs pa daṅ// sbraṅ rtsis bsdu bar byaho shes bya ba dan, ba lan yan gsad par byaho shes bya ba dan, de bshin du me la skyes bu dan/ rta dan/ ba lan dan/ ra rnams bsreg cin gsad par byaho// yan na hdi dag

center of the fire surrounding them, they should be suffered by heat.' Or, 'In the Aśvamedha, every horse should be tormented with five hundred piercing needles and should be dedicated in the fire.'

These are the assertions (in the Vedas, similar to those of Maga). There an incestuous marriage is also spoken out. It is taught in the sacrificial rite (yajña) of the bull-observances (go-vrata): 'A Brahmin shall perform a sacrificial rite of a bull (go-sava) and keep himself in the bull-observances for one year (samvatsara-govratin). He should drink water like a bull, take herb like a bull, have a sexual relation with his mother, with his aunts, with his own offsprings like a bull. Taking pleaure out of whomever and whatever are available, then by so doing he will reign over everything in the world. (upa mātaram iyād upa svasāram upa sagotrām upāvahāya udakam ācāmed upāvahāya tṛṇāny āchindyād/ yatra yatra enam viṣṭhā vindet tat tad vitiṣṭheta anuḍuho ha lokam jayati Cf. Jaiminīya-Brāhmana ii, 113)......

(D283b6) The Vedas are not a proper means for knowing the Dharma. As they teach with the assertions acknowledging and encouraging such deeds as harming (hinsā), unchastity (abrahmacaryā), drinking wine (surāpāna) and so on, they are like the sayings of the huntsmen and the Persians who speak often of harming living beings.

dbugs bsrubs la bsad par bya ste// sems pa med par byaḥo/ (D281b6)/ yaṅ na mes bskor baḥi dkyil du tsha bas gduṅ bar byaḥo shes bya ba daṅ// yaṅ na rta dag gi mchod sbyin la rta re re la khab lha brgya lha brgya btsugs la śin tu zug gzer daṅ ldan par byas nas sbyin sreg byaḥo shes rjes su gnaṅ ba yod pa daṅ/ bgrod par bya ba ma yin pa la bgrod par bya ba yaṅ rjes su gnaṅ ho shes ba laṅ gi brtul shugs can gyi mchod sbyin las bstan te// bram zes ba laṅ gi mchod sbyin byas nas lo gcig gi bar du ba laṅ gi brtul shugs la gnas par bya ste/ ba laṅ bshin du chu btuṅ (D282a1) shin rtsva yaṅ gcad par bya la/ ma la yaṅ bshon par bya/ maḥi spun zla la yaṅ bshon par bya/ raṅ gi rigs la yaṅ bshon par bya ste/ gaṅ daṅ gaṅ du shugs śin ḥdug pa der rñed pa de daṅ de la spyad cin ba laṅ bshin du spyad na ḥjig rten thams cad las rgyal bar ḥgyur ro shes bya ba daṅ/.....(D283b6)/ rig byed ni chos rtogs pa la tshad mar gyur pa ma yin te/ ḥtshe ba daṅ/ tshaṅs par spyod pa ma yin pa daṅ/ chaṅ ḥthuṅ ba la sogs pa gsal bar byed pa daṅ/ rjes su mthun paḥi tshig brjod paḥi phyir rňon pa daṅ/ par sig la sogs pa ḥtshe ba yoṅs su smra baḥi tshig bshin no/

(17) A Reference to Maga in the Tibetan Translation (S. Kawasaki)

(D283b7-284a1) The Vedas are not a proper means for knowing the Dharma. As they teach the illicit sexual relation (agamyā-gamana), they are like the books of the Nāstikas and of the Persians."

The teachings of Maga as described in TJ agree with what we already know about Maga in the following points:

1) Killing of ants

In his description of the Persian manners and customs, Herodotus (ca. 484-430 B. C.) writes about the attitudes of their priesthood toward ants and other small creatures. "The Magi, on the contrary, kill animals of all kinds with their own hands, excepting dogs and men. They even seem to take a delight in the employment, and kill, as readily as they do other animals, *ants* and snakes, and such like flying or creeping."³⁾

2) Drinking of wine (surāpāna)

Again, Herodotus mentions the Persian attitude toward wine as follows: "They are very fond of wine, and drink it in large quantities." 4)

3) Animal sacrifice, especially bull-slaying

The above-mentioned sentence from Herodotus' *History* tells us also about Maga's practice of animal sacrifice. The sacrifice of bull (taurobolium) is generally accepted as the central act of worship in Mithraism, and is represented in relief in every Mithraic sanctuary.⁵⁾ In a Zoroastrian literature, *Yasna* xxxii, 10, we hear of the teacher of evil who 'declares the ox and the sun are the worst thing to behold with the eyes,' which may perhaps refer to the nocturnal sacrifice of bull by Mithraists. Zoroaster, in his reform, accused the priesthood of the old cult of handing the ox over to 'fury' or 'violence.' In *Yasna* xxix, 1, the soul of the ox itself cries out saying 'violence, fury, cruelty, fri-

^{.....(}D283b7)/ rig byed ni chos rtogs pa la tshad mar gyur pa ma yin te/gan gi phyir bgrod par bya ba ma yin pa la yan bgrod par bya bar ne bar ston paḥi phyir med pa pa (D284a1) dan/ par sig gi bstan bcos bshin no/

Herodoti Historiae I, 140; George Rawlinson tr.: History of Herodotus, 2 vols.,
 3rd ed. (London, 1875), vol. I, p. 265.

⁴⁾ Ibid. I, 133; Rawlinson tr., vol. I, p. 259.

⁵⁾ Franz Cumont: Les Mystères de Mıthra, (Paris, 1913).

ghtfulness, and might hem me in.'6)

4) Incestuous marriage (agamyā-gamana)

This is one thing the mal-impression of which the modern successor of Zoroastrianism, the Indian Parsis, endeavour to eradicate out of their sacred literature. It cannot be denied, however, there are frequent references to the so-called illicit love, Khyêtûk-das, in it.⁷⁾

Bhavya is not the first Buddhist writer who gives a description of this custom among the ancient Persians. The Abhidharmakośa-bhāsya of Vasubandhu, whose date of activity has been a topic of heating controversy (4th or 5th century), has a reference to the Persian (pārasīka) custom of mercy killing for the aged parents⁸⁾ and also to their custom of sexual life with mother and so on (moha-jo yathā pārasīkānām matrâdi-gamanam).9) Vasubandhu's following quotation: "ye ca āhur/ udūkhala-puṣpa-pakvānna-tīrtha-mārga-prakhyo mātrgrāma iti" is actually the same with what we have in TJ.10) The Abhidharma-mahāvibhāsā-śāstra (Taisho vol. 27, 606a), of which neither the Sanskrit original nor the Tibetan translation is available, and the date of composition of which precedes to that of Vasubandhu's work, has the following Chinese translation on this context: "Again in the west hence, there live Mlecchas called Maga 目迦 who have this kind of opinion and this kind of view: 'It is not sinful to attain one's desire at one's mother, daughters, sisters and sons' wives. What is the reason? Whoever women are they, are all like ripen fruit, or food, road, bridge, ship, steps, mill-stone and so forth. Just as these things are shared by people in public, a woman is an object of love and enjoyment

R. C. Zaehner: The Dawn and Twilight of Zoroastrianism (London, 1961), pp. 84-87.

Yasna xii, 9; xiii, 28; E. W. West: "The Meaning of khvêtûk-das," SBE vol. XVIII (London, 1882), pp. 389-430.

⁸⁾ pārasīkānām ca/ te hy evam āhuḥ/ "mātā-pitarau jīrņau glānau vā hantavyāv" iti (ad Abhidharma-kośa VI, 68); P. Pradhan ed.: Abhidharma koshabhāṣya of Vasubandhu (Patna, 1967), p. 240.

⁹⁾ Ibid. p. 241.

¹⁰⁾ See the author's tr. of *TJ. Supra* p. 2. Paramārtha's translation of the *Abhi-dharmakośa* mentioned a Tīrthika P'in-na-k'o 頻那柯 as the proponent. (*Taisho* vol. 29, 241b)

in common.'又此西方有蔑戾車名日日目迦。起如是見。立如是論。母女姉妹及児妻等。於彼行欲悉無有罪。所以者何。一切母邑。皆如熟果已辨飲食道路橋船階梯臼等。法爾有情共所受用。

The Abhidharmakośa-bhāṣya tells us about a Vedic ritual, go-sava, saying, "gosave ca yajñe/ yathoktaṃ 'brāhmaṇo gosavena iṣṭyā saṃvatsara-govratī bhavati/ upahā udakaṃ cūṣati tṛṇāni cchinatti upaiti mātaram upa svasāram upa sagotrām' iti." As we learn from La Vallée Poussin's footnote to his French translation of the Abhidharmakośa, this Vedic quotation is from the Jaimintya Brāhmaṇa ii, 113. Like TJ, the Tibetan translation of the Abhidharmakośa-bhāṣya has this quotation in full with "yatra yatrainam viṣṭhā vindet tat tad vitiṣṭheta anuḍuho ha lokaṃ jayati," a sentence lacking either in Dr. Pradhan's Sanskrit text or in the Chinese translation of the Abhidharma-kośa-bhāṣya. We do not know how was the corresponding paragraph in the original text, now lost, of the Abhidharma-mahāvibhāṣā-śāstra. It is very probable, anyway, that Bhavya should have acquired his knowledge on Maga from a certain Abhidharma work.

It is evident and valuable that the Buddhist knowledge of Maga, like that of Herodotus, includes much of the survival of old cults and primitive conceptions such as Zoroaster endeavoured to eradicate. It has been long known that there was, before the arrival of the Parsis on the Indian west coast, a colony of the old Iranian stock called Śākadvīpīya-brāhmaṇa or Maga-brāhmaṇa on the bank of the Candrabhāgā in Punjab, who preserved their old worship of Mithra or Mihira and sun-worship.¹³⁾ It is not utterly impossible that the

¹¹⁾ Pradhan ed. p. 241. The same quotation is found in P. S. Jaini ed.: Abhidharmadīpa (Patna, 1959), p. 154.

¹²⁾ L. de la Vallée Poussin tr.: L'Abhidharmakośa de Vasubandhu (Bruxelles, 1971), Tome III, p. 147. Here Poussin tells us that "samvatsaram paśu-vrato······" is found in the Āpastamba Śrautasūtra xxii, 13. The Prāyaścitta-Prakarana (p. 132) quotes the Mārkandeya-Purāna to describe a go-vrata. See P. V. Kane: History of Dharmaśāstra, vol. IV (Poona, 1953), p. 134.

¹³⁾ V. Raghavan: "Worship of the Sun," in Sankara and Shanmata (no date);
R. G. Bhandarkar: Vaiṣṇavism and Minor Religious Systems (Varanasi, 1965);
K. S. Hodivala: Parsis of Ancient India (Bombay, 1920); H. von Stietencron: Indische Sonnenpriester: Sāmba und die Śākadvīpīya-Brāhmana (Wiesbaden, 1966).

Buddhists might have been supplied with the information about the Maga religion from such a group of people living in India. So far, however, we cannot find any reference to Maga's sun-worship either in *TJ*, or in the Abhidharma works.

There is another question: whether there was "the book of Maga (maga-śāstra)" as is mentioned in *TJ*. In the *Abhidharmakośa-bhāṣyā*, there is no reference to the existence of such a book, while the *Abhidharma-mahāvibhāṣā* has a sentence which is in a way possible to be rendered as follows: "Maga who have this kind of opinion and this kind of *book* (起如是見. 立如是論)" For lack of the Sanskrit original and the Tibetan translation of the *Mahāvibhāṣā*, we have no further way of finding what was the Maga-śāstra.¹⁴)

Remarkable it is that Bhavya noticed of many similarities in the teachings of Maga in old Persia and the Vedic religion, between the two most easterly branches of the Aryan race!

¹⁴⁾ In Kamalaśīla's commentary on the *Tattvasamgraha*, there is a reference to *gosava* in connection with incestuous marriage (agamya-gamana): "vedasyâiva krīḍâśīla-piśācâdi-praṇītatvaṃ sambhavayitum/ yena gosavâdisv agamyagamanâdayo 'samācārāḥ saṃprakāśitā ity etad darśayann āha-kamêty-ādi/ kāma-mithyâsamācāra-prāṇi-hiṃsâdi-lak aṇāḥ/ / asabhyās tu kriyā yena vacasā samprakāśitāḥ/ *Tattvasamgraha-Pañjikā* (GOS., Baroda. 1926) ad *TS v.* 3620, p. 928.