Journal of the International Association of Buddhist Studies

Volume 21 • Number 2 • 1998

.

PIERRE ARÈNES	
Herméneutique des tantra:	
étude de quelques usages du «sens caché»	173
GEORGES DREYFUS	
The Shuk-den Affair: History and Nature of a Quarrel	227
ROBERT MAYER	
The Figure of Mahesvara/Rudra	
in the rNin-ma-pa Tantric Tradition	271
JOHN NEWMAN	
Islam in the Kālacakra Tantra	311
MAX NIHOM	
Vajravinayā and Vajrašauņda:	
A 'Ghost' Goddess and her Syncretic Spouse	373
TILMANN VETTER	
Explanations of dukkha	383
Index to JIABS 11-21, by Toru TOMABECHI	389
English summary of the article by P. Arenes	409

JOHN NEWMAN

Islam in the Kālacakra Tantra*

They totally differ from us in religion, as we believe in nothing in which they believe, and vice versa. al-Bīrūnī, al-Hind¹

PART 1: INTRODUCTION

Islam's impact on Indian Buddhism is well known to historians of religion: after the Muslim conquest of the Buddhist homeland in northeastern India at the beginning of the 13th century CE, Buddhism ceased to exist as an institutional religious force. Although remnants of Buddhist culture continued to survive for several centuries, Muslim political and economic domination of northern India insured that Buddhist monasteries would not be reborn from their ashes.

The attitude of the Muslims who invaded India towards Buddhism is amply documented by Muslim historians – as idolatrous infidels Buddhists were put to the sword or enslaved, and their temples were looted and destroyed, all as acts of religious merit.² It is not surprising that this violent persecution produced fear and hatred in the minds of the victims. Commenting on the results of Mahmūd of Ghaznī's famous raids during the first decades of the 11th century, al-Bīrūnī writes:

- * An earlier version of this paper was presented at the 1989 Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Religion. I am grateful to Drs. Beth Newman, Michael Sweet, Leonard Zwilling, Cynthia Talbot, and Richard Salomon for criticism and comments on earlier drafts of this paper.
- 1. SACHAU 1989: I.19.
- For Muslim accounts of the Turkish attacks on East Indian Buddhist monasteries see WARDER 1980: 506-8. For a Tibetan eye-witness account of such raids see ROERICH 1959: xviii-xxii, 93-94.

"The notion [of $djih\bar{a}d$] stems from the fundamental principle of the universality of Islam: this religion, along with the temporal authority which it implies, ought to embrace [the] whole universe, if necessary by force... [With regard to idolaters:] their conversion to Islam is obligatory under pain of being put to death or reduced into slavery" (EI ii.538a).

Mahmûd utterly ruined the prosperity of the country and performed there wonderful exploits, by which the [Indians] became like atoms of dust scattered in all directions, and like a tale of old in the mouth of the people. Their scattered remains cherish, of course, the most inveterate aversion towards all Muslims. This is the reason, too, why [Indian] sciences have retired far away from those parts of the country conquered by us, and have fled to places which our hand cannot yet reach, to Kashmir, Benares, and other places. And there the antagonism between them and all foreigners receives more and more nourishment from both political and religious sources.³

Al-Bīrūnī's report that 'antagonism between Indians and foreigners received nourishment from religious sources' suggests Hindus and Buddhists were aware of the threat Islam posed. However, a standard history of India, commenting on Indian reactions to the Muslim Turkic invasions of the 11th and 12th centuries, states:

There was an awareness that an entirely new force had arrived on the Indian scene, but there was hardly any curiosity about it. That the conquerors would supersede the indigenous rulers in the political sphere was acknowledged; but the wider implications – such as the likelihood that the newcomers would alter and modify the pattern of Indian culture – was not at first clearly realized (THAPAR 1974: 266). The people of India curiously do not seem to have perceived the new arrivals as a unified body of Muslims (THAPAR 1989: 223).

In fact as early as the beginning of the 11th century some Indian Buddhists were very curious about the new religion that had recently appeared on their western horizon, and perceived it to be a threat to traditional Indian culture. As we will see, the Kālacakra tantra is a remarkable exception to the rule that in classical Indian literature "the Muslims, who were not only present in India for many centuries, but were its actual rulers, appear only in vague and marginal references" (HALBFASS 1988: 182); "the Sanskrit tradition has never taken official notice of the existence of Islam" (ERNST 1992: 30); "I would lay stress on this – the *religious* identity of the Central Asians [who invaded India] is not once thematized in Sanskrit sources" (POLLOCK 1993: 286). In Sanskrit literature the Kālacakra tantra is unique in presenting a fairly comprehensive and quite accurate portrayal of Islamic beliefs and practices.

3. SACHAU 1989: 1.22; see also 19-23. I have replaced Sachau's "Hindus" with [Indians], because in this passage al-Bīrūnī clearly intends an inclusive ethnonym, not a religious denomination, as SACHAU himself no doubt recognized. Note also the following passage: "Another circumstance which increased the already existing antagonism between [Indians] and foreigners is that the so-called Shamaniyya (Buddhists), though they cordially hate the Brahmans, still are nearer akin to them than to others" (SACHAU 1989:I.21).

Also, the Buddhist authors of the Kālacakra developed strategies for dealing with Islam that grew out of their own religious preoccupations, in line with the contemporary religious milieu.

The Kālacakra Tantra

The source for this study is the literature of the Indian Buddhist Kālacakra tantra tradition.⁴ The Kālacakra, or "Wheel of Time," was the last major product of Indian Vajrayāna Buddhism. All late Vajrayāna Buddhism is syncretic – it takes elements from non-Buddhist religious traditions and assimilates them to a Buddhist context. However, in the Kālacakra tantra syncretism is unusually obvious and is even self-conscious – the tantra makes little effort to disguise its borrowings from the Śaiva, Vaiṣṇava, and Jaina traditions. The basic structure of the Kālacakra system is itself non-Buddhist: the Kālacakra uses the ancient idea of the homology of the macrocosm and the microcosm as the foundation of its soteriology. Islam appears in both the macrocosm and the microcosm and the microcosm of the Kālacakra mysticism.

In this essay I have attempted to collect, edit, translate, and analyze all of the references to Islamic beliefs and practices appearing in the earliest stratum of the Indian Kālacakra corpus. There are in addition numerous references to the Muslim "barbarians" in other passages dealing with the myth of the Kalkins of Sambhala (see below, and NEWMAN 1995), but they do not directly bear on our assessment of the Kālacakra's knowledge of Islam as a historical reality, the main focus of this study.

Much of the Indian Kālacakra literature exists in the original Sanskrit, and all of this is available in Tibetan translation. References to Islam appear in the following Indian Kālacakra texts:

- I. Paramādibuddhoddhrta-Śrī-Kālacakra-nāma-tantrarāja (henceforth 'Śrī Kālacakra'), together with its commentary Vimalaprabhā-nāma-mūlatantrānusāriņī-dvādasasāhasrikā-laghukālacakratantrarājaţīkā (henceforth 'Vimalaprabhā').
- II. Śrī-Kālacakra-tantrottara-Tantrahrdaya-nāma (henceforth 'Tantrahrdaya').
- III. Svadarsanamatoddesa
- IV. Śrī-Paramārthasevā
- 4. Here tantra has two connotations: its primary meaning is a system of mysticism; by extension, it also refers to the revealed texts that teach such a system. Thus, the Kālacakra tantra is taught in the Śrī Kālacakra and other tantras belonging to the Kālacakra corpus of buddha-vacanam.

The $Sr\bar{r}$ Kālacakra and Vimalaprabhā are complete in Sanskrit; at least one-fourth of the Sanskrit of the Paramārthasevā has survived;⁵ but apart from brief quotations we have only Tibetan translations of the Tantrahrdaya and the Svadarśanamatoddeśa.

The \hat{Sr} \bar{K} \bar{a} lacakra is the K \bar{a} lacakra laghutantra – it is traditionally held to be the condensed redaction of the Param \bar{a} dibuddha, the K \bar{a} lacakra m \bar{u} latantra, which is attributed to the Buddha. The \hat{Sr} $\bar{K}\bar{a}$ lacakra is an esoteric treatise that, together with its massive commentary the Vimalaprabh \bar{a} , is our main source for the Indian K \bar{a} lacakra tantra tradition. The \hat{Sr} $\bar{K}\bar{a}$ lacakra, according to its own account, was composed by Yasas, an emanation of the bodhisattva Ma \bar{n} justr \bar{n} , who was the first Kalkin emperor of the mythical Inner Asian land of Sambhala. The Vimalaprabh \bar{a} claims itself to be written by Yasas' son Puṇḍar \bar{n} ka, the second Kalkin of Sambhala, an emanation of the bodhisattva Avalokitesvara. The Tantrahṛdaya is a supplement (tantrottara) to the \hat{Sr} $\bar{K}\bar{a}$ lacakra attributed to Yasas, and Yasas also composed the Svadarsanamatoddesa, an independent verse treatise. The Param \bar{a} rthasev \bar{a} , by Puṇḍar \bar{n} ka, is an independent work of didactic poetry.⁶

We can safely assume that 'Yaśas' and 'Puṇḍarīka' are pseudonyms, elements of an elaborate mythology devised to provide the newly created Kālacakra tantra with a suitable Buddhist pedigree, in an attempt to introduce the Kālacakra to the Indian Buddhist intelligentsia of the early 11th century. A passage in Abhayākaragupta's Śrī-Sampuṭa-tantrarājatīkā-Āmnāyamañjarī-nāma (composed ca. 1109 CE) informs us that some Buddhist cognoscenti indeed held 'Yaśas' and 'Puṇḍarīka' to be pseudonyms, rejected their claim to the status of bodhisattva, and found much in the Kālacakra corpus that was incompatible with Buddhism (NEWMAN 1987b: 107-110).

If we assume the names 'Yaśas' and 'Pundarīka' are products of mythogenesis, we can make some observations about the historical authors of these texts based on their contents. First, the authors were

- 5. The incomplete MS of the Paramārthasevā is National Archives Kathmandu no. 5-7235, Nepal-German Manuscript Preservation Project reel no. B 30/31, misleadingly catalogued under the title Kālacakratantra. Brief excerpts are also imbedded in the Paramārthasamgraha, Guņabharaņī, Sekoddešațippaņī, and Kriyāsamuccaya. Unfortunately, I have not found the verses I have edited and translated below among the portions of the text that survive in Sanskrit.
- 6. For discussion of the myth and history surrounding these authors and texts see NEWMAN 1985; 1987a; 1987b: 70-113; 1995; 1996.

highly educated masters of late Indian Vajrayāna Buddhism. The Kālacakra literature demonstrates an intimate knowledge of 'anuttarayoga' tantras such as the Guhyasamāja, Hevajra, and Cakrasamvara; it also presupposes a thorough grounding in Śrāvakayāna and non-tantric Mahāyāna philosophy and soteriology. In addition, the Vimalaprabhā exhibits a more than passing acquaintance with brahmanical learning, citing such texts as the Rgveda, Aṣṭādhyāyī, Sāmkhyakārikā, Manusmṛti, Mahābhārata, etc. It is likely that the authors themselves were brahmans by caste, Buddhists by religious affiliation.

An unusual feature of the earliest stratum of the Indian Kālacakra literature is its interest in what we might call ethnography. Although the most striking example of this is the Kālacakra's information on Muslims and Islam, the texts also comment on the religious and social customs of other people in India and abroad. In brief, we can characterize the authors of the early Kālacakra literature as erudite Indian Buddhists who situated their mysticism in a cosmopolitan cultural milieu.

I believe the earliest stratum of the Indian Kālacakra literature, including the texts studied here, is the product of a small group of *vajrācāryas* who flourished in northeastern India during the early decades of the 11th century CE. Members of the original Kālacakra cult included Atiśa's guru Piņdo – a brahman Buddhist monk born in Java, and Nāro (Nāropāda) – the famous *vajrācārya* of Nālandā. For discussion of this theory see NEWMAN 1987b:89-107.

The Śrī Kālacakra, Vimalaprabhā, Tantrahrdaya, Svadaršanamatoddeša, and Paramārthasevā all can be dated with a remarkable degree of precision: all are quoted by name in the Paramārthasamgraha-nāma-Sekoddešatīkā⁷ composed by Nāro, who probably died ca. 1040 CE.⁸

- 7. For the Paramārthasamgraha quotations of the Śrī Kālacakra see NIHOM 1984: 20; NEWMAN 1987a: 90, n. 11; GNOLI and OROFINO 1994: index s.v. Laghukālacakratantra. For the Vimalaprabhā see GNOLI and OROFINO 1994: index s.v. For the Tantrahrdaya (cited under the short titles Kālacakrottara and Tantrottara) see NIHOM 1984: 20; GNOLI and OROFINO 1994: 218, 316-17. For the Svadarśanamatoddeśa see Paramārthasamgraha p. 61 (cf. GNOLI and OROFINO 1994: 323). For the Paramārthasevā see GNOLI and OROFINO 1994: index s.v.
- See WYLIE 1982. WYLIE refers only to Alaka Chattopadhyaya's Atīša and Tibet for the story of Nāro's last days (WYLIE 1982: 688-89, n. 14-16). For Tibetan sources for this important story see the Atiša biographies (EIMER 1979: 2.172-74, 1.225); dPa' bo gTsug lag phreng ba 1545: 673; and Padma dkar po 1575: 445. On Marpa's meeting with Atiša and his subsequent search for Nāro, in

Even more important is the fact that the $Sr\bar{i}$ $K\bar{a}lacakra$ and the *Vimalaprabhā* contain a year – 403 – which forms the basis for the epoch of the Kālacakra system of chronology and astronomy. The year 403 is the year of the lord of the *mlecchas*, Muḥammad (I.2,3),⁹ and it is a solar calendar reckoning of a year in the Hijra era that can be reckoned as corresponding to 1024/25 CE (see NEWMAN 1998). The appearance of this year in the *Śrī* Kālacakra and the *Vimalaprabhā* – a *terminus post quem*, together with Nāro's citations – a *terminus ante quem*, proves that these texts were completed between 1025 and ca. 1040 CE. Also, the Indo-Tibetan Kālacakra guru lineages originate early in the 11th century (NEWMAN 1987b: 89-107). In brief, both internal and external evidence indicates that the earliest stratum of the Kālacakra literature was composed during the early decades of the 11th century. It is certainly no mere coincidence that this was the very time Maḥmūd of Ghaznī launched his epoch-making raids into northwestern India.

Buddhist Perceptions of Islam: the Barbarian Tāyin

The Kālacakra literature uniformly refers to Muslims as mlecchas – barbarians, and Islam is called the mleccha-dharma, the barbarian religion (I.1 ff.). In brahmanical usage the Sanskrit word mleccha commonly

addition to the Marpa hagiography cited by WYLIE (1982: 689), see Padma dkar po 1575: 445-52.

I follow WYLIE in relying on the stories that place Nāro's death shortly before Atiśa's departure to Tibet, i.e., ca. 1040. This is not unlikely given the fact Atiśa was a junior contemporary of Nāro. However, unlike WYLIE and other scholars, I have very little faith in the wonderfully precise dates given for the births and deaths of Tilo and Nāro in their late Tibetan hagiographies. These dates are given in the Tibetan element-animal sexagenary cycle, which was never used in India. We must investigate how the Tibetans arrived at these dates before we rely too heavily on them.

^{9.} Henceforth I cross-reference my discussion with the translations and text editions given in Parts 2 and 3. Thus (I.2,3) indicates the second and third extracts from the $Sr\bar{r}$ Kālacakra and the Vimalaprabhā; (II.4) denotes the fourth extract from the Tantrahrdaya, etc.

denotes any foreigner who does not follow Indian customs,¹⁰ but the Kālacakra texts seem to apply it only to Muslims.¹¹

The Kālacakra texts specify the identity of the barbarians: they are the Tāyin. In Buddhist Sanskrit texts the word $t\bar{a}yin$ is widely used as a laudatory epithet of buddhas and bodhisattvas, meaning, among other things, "a protector" (EDGERTON 1972: 251-52); in this sense the Tibetans translate $t\bar{a}yin$ as skyob pa. And in fact this common usage is well-attested in the Kālacakra literature.¹² However, in the Kālacakra's discussion of the *mlecchas* " $t\bar{a}yin$ " is given another, very different meaning; in this context the Tibetans translate it as stag gzig. We should

- 10. See al-Bīrūnī: "[A]ll their fanaticism is directed against those who do not belong to them against all foreigners. They call them *mleccha*, i.e. impure, and forbid having any connection with them, be it by intermarriage or any other kind of relationship, or by sitting, eating, and drinking with them, because thereby, they think, they would be polluted... [I]n all manners and usages they differ from us to such a degree as to frighten their children with us, with our dress, and our ways and customs, and as to declare us to be devil's breed, and our doings the very opposite of all that is good and proper. By the by, we must confess, in order to be just, that a similar depreciation of foreigners not only prevails among us and the [Indians], but is common to all nations towards each other" (SACHAU 1989: I.19-20). For excellent discussions of traditional brahmanical xenology see THAPAR 1971; HALBFASS 1988: 172-96. Indian Buddhist attitudes towards foreigners seem to have been somewhat different from the normative brahmanical attitude; a valuable study could be done comparing the two.
- 11. With a few exceptions, one could accurately gloss *mleccha* as 'Muslim' throughout the Kālacakra literature. On the other hand, the texts do not employ the term *mleccha* when referring to non-Muslim foreigners. For example, the Tibetans, whose beef-eating and lack of hygiene are equally barbarous from the author's point of view, are not called *mlecchas* (see III.2; and *Tantrahrdaya* P 152a6: *bod na khrus dang gtsang spra med*: "In Tibet there is no bathing or cleanliness"). This is probably due to the fact that the authors recognized the Tibetans as fellow Buddhists (NEWMAN 1987b: 362; 1996: 494, n. 10).
- 12. Śrī Kālacakra 5.68c refers to the "beneficent attitude of the Tāyin [- the buddhas and bodhisattvas]" (tāyinām saukyabuddhis; skyob pa rnams kyi bde ba'i blo gros). Similarly, the Paramārthasamgraha (p. 28.24-25), commenting on "protector" (tāyin; skyob pa) in Sekoddeśa 22b, reads: "The protection is [the buddhas'] proclamation of the path they saw. Since they do that, they are protectors, the Tathāgatas and so forth" (tāyah svadrstamārgoktih | tadyogāt tāyinas tathāgatādayah | skyob pa nyid gzigs lam gsun pa | de la sbyor ba'i phyir skyob ste | skyob pa ni skyob pa de bźin gśegs pa la sogs rnams sol) (see GNOLI and OROFINO 1994: 205). Nāro's gloss takes tāyin as a nominal stem in -in formed on tāyah; see EDGERTON 1972: 251-52. For an extensive bibliography on Buddhist Sanskrit tāyin see DE JONG 1974: 69, n. 4.

first note that all the examples found in the Sanskrit texts edited below are declined in the genitive plural: $t\bar{a}yin\bar{a}m$ (Sanskrit and Tibetan: 1.2,3,7,10; Tibetan only: II.1,4,7; IV.2). However, elsewhere in the $Sr\bar{i}$ Kālacakra and Vimalaprabhā we find the feminine nominative singular form $t\bar{a}yin\bar{i}$ (Tib. stag gzig ma) in a list of eight ethnonyms denoting outcaste and tribal women.¹³ Thus, we can be confident that the underlying word is treated as nominal stem in -*in*; the masculine nominative singular would be $t\bar{a}y\bar{i}$.

The Kālacakra texts use $t\bar{a}yin$ in place of the common Sanskrit term $t\bar{a}jika$ to refer to the *mlecchas* of the West. In Sanskrit literature $t\bar{a}jika$ "ursprünglich die Araber bezeichnete, dann aber allgemein auf die westlichen islamischen Völker ausgedehnt wurde" (MAYRHOFER 1956: 492). Given the 11th century date of the Kālacakra texts, it is very unlikely that $t\bar{a}yin$ refers specifically to 'Arabs,' who were not the main participants in the Muslim raids on India at the time. Since the Vimalaprabhā refers to Persians¹⁴ independently of the Tāyin, the Tāyin are not simply 'Persians.' Instead we assume 'Tāyin,' like 'Tājika,' is a somewhat vague ethnonym referring collectively to the Muslim Turks, Persians, and Arabs of the northwestern borderlands of the Indian world.

The question remains, why did the authors use a well-known epithet of the buddhas and bodhisattvas to designate their antithesis, the barbarian Muslims, when the well-established word 'Tājika' was available? I suspect at least two factors come into play here. First, the authors of the Kālacakra literature exhibit a playful attitude towards language which reflects their philosophical view that words are only conventionally related to the objects they signify; thus a single word can have multiple and even contrary referents (cf. NEWMAN 1988). Second, this coinage

13. Vimalaprabhā 3.5.126 (VP (S) B 132a1 [note: MS enumerates this verse as 124]; U II.112.6); Śrī Kālacakra 3.134b, Vimalaprabhā 3.5.134b (VP (S) B 132b4 [note: MS enumerates this verse as 131]; U II.114.26, 115.4). VP (S) U consistently reads tāpinī with no variants given. Although it is often very difficult to distinguish pa and ya in the MSS, I am confident in my reading, which agrees with Vira-Chandra (ŚK (S) V), Banerjee (ŚK (S) B) and, most importantly, with the Tibetan translation stag gzig ma

 $T\bar{a}yin\bar{i}$ stands at the northern point of the charnel-ground circle of the Kālacakra's gaņacakra: Mlecchī, Haddī, Mātangī, Tāyinī, Varvarī, Pukkasī, Bhillī, and Šabarī. This list demonstrates that the author viewed the $t\bar{a}yin$ – in Indian terms – as comparable to an outcaste or tribal group, and it shows that mleccha and tāyin are not synonymous.

14. pārasika; pā ra si ka (NEWMAN 1987b: 362).

reflects their predilection to transcribe names of Arabic origin with meaningful Sanskrit terms: compare the etymologies of Vișavimlā, Madhumatī, Varāhī, Mūşa, Īśa, Mathanī, and Vāgadā in the Appendix.

First we will look at the Kālacakra literature's representation of the social customs of the barbarian Tāyin, then we will examine its depiction of their religious ideology and practices.

Barbarian Customs

As a rule, the Kālacakra presents the barbarian customs as contrary to Indian brahmanical norms. The *mleccha* diet is especially abhorrent. In a verse in the Sri Kālacakra the Buddha says:

[The barbarians] kill camels, horses, and cattle, and briefly cook the flesh together with blood. They cook beef and amniotic fluid with butter and spice, rice mixed with vegetables, and forest fruit, all at once on the fire. Men eat that, O king, and drink bird eggs, in the place of the demon [barbarians] (I.5. See also I.6; II.1,4; III.2).

We do not know the extent to which this diet reflects actual Muslim practice, and how much of it is derogatory fiction. The reference to the barbarian fondness for beef and raw eggs, mentioned in several passages, is probably derived from observation. Beef-eating, of course, is particularly repugnant to orthodox brahmans. The alleged *mleccha* consumption of blood is noteworthy: blood is one of the few foods expressly forbidden in the *Koran* and by later Islamic tradition (EI¹ iii.156ab; EI ii.1061b, 1069a). Perhaps the author encountered Muslims who ignored this fundamental dietary law; perhaps he simply falsely ascribed an imagined barbarity to them. In any case, the purpose of this verse and the other references to the *mleccha* diet is clear: the barbarian diet is an element of their conduct that serves to define them as barbarians, as outsiders who engage in unacceptable behavior. As we will see later, *mleccha* dietary practice also has a religious dimension.

Tāyin marriage customs are similarly outlandish from an Indian brahmanical perspective. The *Tantrahrdaya* notes that in Makka (*makha*) a barbarian takes his paternal uncle's daughter in marriage (II.3; cf. I.9; IV.1). Such a marriage of paternal parallel cousins is considered equivalent to incest between siblings.¹⁵

15. EI¹ iii.913b classes female descendants of aunts and great-aunts among blood relations prohibited as marriage partners; see also 912b. By implication a paternal parallel cousin would be permitted, although I do not know how prevalent such

While these dietary and marriage practices do not find favor with the authors of the Kālacakra texts, they note some barbarian customs with tacit approbation. The *mleccha* Tāyin reject the doctrine of multiple castes, and live as a single group (I.14; II.4). This contrasts with the casteism of the brahmanical caste system (I.14).¹⁶ The barbarians respect each other's property, they are truthful, and they practice hygiene (II.4). They avoid each other's wives, and maintain the "asceticism" of remaining faithful to their own wives (II.4). The barbarians are fierce and heroic in battle (I.1), and the might of their cavalry is specifically mentioned (II.4).

Barbarian Teachers

We now turn to the history of the barbarian religion. In a verse in the $Sr\bar{r}$ Kālacakra the Buddha prophesies the origin and development of the *mlecchadharma*:

Ādam (arda), Nūḥ (nogha), and Ibrāhīm (varāhī) [are the first three barbarian teachers]; there are also five others whose nature is $tamas^{17}$ in the family of demonic snakes: Mūsā (mūşa), 'Īsā (īśa), the White-Clad One (*svetavastrin*), Muḥammad (madhupati), and the Mahdī (mathanī), who will be the eighth – he will belong to the darkness. The seventh will clearly be born in the city of Baghdād (vāgadā) in the land of Makka (makha), where the demonic incarnation – the mighty, merciless idol of the barbarians – lives in the world (I.5).¹⁸

marriages may have been among the Muslims the Kālacakra authors are likely to have encountered.

Manu 3.5 says: "A woman who [does not] belong to the same lineage (of the sages) [gotra] on her father's side ... is recommended for marriage to twice-born men" (DONIGER 1991: 43). A man's paternal uncle necessarily belongs to the same gotra, and thus his daughters are prohibited as marriage partners.

- 16. Buddhism, like Islam, generally espouses egalitarianism in the context of religious practice (see DE JONG 1990). It seems that the Kālacakra texts' references to Muslim egalitarianism reflect a perceived ritual and doctrinal parallel between Islam and Buddhism in contrast to the casteism and ritual exclusivity of orthodox brahmanism.
- 17. The Kālacakra appropriates the Sāmkhya notion of the three gunas sattva, rajas, tamas as a religio-anthropological classification system. Buddhists are sāttvika endowed with goodness and light. Tīrthikas the followers of non-Buddhist Indian religious traditions are rājasa: their nature is a mixture of good and evil. The mleccha Tāyin, of course, are tāmasa having a vicious, dark nature. Manu 12.39-41 (DONIGER 1991: 282-83) posits a very different division of humanity on the basis of the three gunas, but agrees that mlecchas derive from tamas (Manu 12.43). Cf. HALBFASS 1991: 357-63.
- For previous study of this verse see HOFFMANN 1960, 1969; NEWMAN 1987b: 594-614; OROFINO 1995.

As Daniel MARTIN and Giacomella OROFINO have suggested, it appears that this list of barbarians derives from a sevener Shī⁺ī source.¹⁹ The Ismā⁺īlīs hold that Ādam, Nūḥ (Noah), Ibrāhīm (Abraham), Mūsā (Moses), 'Īsā (Jesus), and Muḥammad are the first six nāțiq ("enunciators"), who will be followed by Muḥammad b. Ismā⁺īl, the hidden *imām* who will return as the Mahdī (EI iv.203ab; DAFTARY 1990: 139-40 and s.v. nāțiq). We know that Ismā⁺īlī $dā^+$ ī – missionaries (see EI ii.97b-98a; DAFTARY 1990: s.v.) – were active in Sindh from the latter part of the 9th century, and that they succeeded in establishing an Ismā⁺īlī stronghold in Multān during the second half of the 10th century which survived into the early 11th century (STERN 1949; AL-HAMDANI 1956: 1-8; EI iv.198a, 199a; WINK 1990: 212-18; DAFTARY 1990: 118-19, 125, 176, 180, 198, 210-11, 228).

On the other hand, the Mubayyida (White-Clad) followers of Abū Muslim (d. 755 CE), also believed in a nearly identical succession of teachers, replacing (or identifying?) the Mahdī with Abū Muslim:

About two decades after the death of Abū Muslim, al-Mukannā'... appeared in Trans-oxania to lead another [anti-'Abbāsid] revolutionary movement. His following was composed of white-clad (Ar. Mubayyida, Pers. Sapīd-djāmagān) Soghdian peasants and by Turkish tribesman. He claimed to be the final divine incarnation after Ādam, Nūh, Ibrāhīm, Mūsā, 'Īsā, Muḥammad and Abū Muslim... The sect of the Mubayyida is still mentioned as surviving in the 6th/12th century (EI v.64a; cf. EI iii.617a, iv.16ab, v.1234a).

The reference to "the White-Clad One" (*svetavastrin*) here, and references to white-clad (*svetavastram*, *svetavāsin*) Tāyin ascetics discussed below, may support the hypothesis that the $Sr\bar{r}$ Kālacakra's list of barbarians derives from a Mubayyida source.

However, thus far we have not solved the vexing puzzle of the identity of the sixth barbarian teacher – the White-Clad One.²⁰ Also, it must be

- 19. This hypothesis linking the Śrī Kālacakra's list of mlecchas with the Mubayyida or the Ismā'īlīs was first suggested in an unpublished paper titled "The veiled prophet of Khurāsān and the revolutions of the Wheel of Time" written by Daniel MARTIN in 1984. Recently Giacomella OROFINO (1995) has independently arrived at a very similar hypothesis.
- 20. Helmut HOFFMANN (1960: 98; 1969: 57-59, 67) identified "The White-Clad One" as Mani, and interpreted this verse as reflecting a syncretic knowledge of "Manichaeism, Christianity, and Islam in the Kālacakra tantra." As I have argued elsewhere, it is much simpler to interpret the entire list of *mlecchas* as being

noted that the $Sr\bar{i}$ Kālacakra specifies eight barbarian teachers, with Muhammad as the seventh and the Mahdī as the eighth, whereas the Ismā'īlī traditions that follow this sequence of $n\bar{a}tiqs$ appear to be unanimous that Muhammad was the sixth $n\bar{a}tiq$ and that the Mahdī will be the seventh (DAFTARY 1990: 105, 128-29, 139, 177-79, 219). It is unclear whether this discrepancy represents confusion on the part of the author of the $Sr\bar{i}$ Kālacakra, or rather that his Muslim informant communicated a variant sevener Shī'ī tradition about which we have no information. The reference to Baghdād (see below) further complicates matters. It seems unlikely that a sevener Shī'ī informant in the early 11th century would revere Baghdād given the long and bitter conflict between the 'Abbāsid Caliphate and the Shī'ī. Perhaps the author of the $Sr\bar{i}$ Kālacakra has drawn a composite picture of Islam based on a variety of sources.

With regard to the eighth barbarian teacher, the *tantra* reads: "the Mahdī, who will be the eighth – he will belong to the darkness" (mathanī yo 'stamah so 'ndhakah syāt). Given the context, I take it as certain that mathanī – "the Destroyer" is a pejoratively meaningful transcription of Arabic mahdī – "the Rightly Guided One" (EI v.1230b ff.). The word andham indicates "darkness," and by extension, "spiritual ignorance." I interpret andhaka – "he will belong to the darkness" – as an allusion to the occultation of the Mahdī (EI v.1235b ff.; DAFTARY 1990: s.v. ghayba and "hidden imāms"), noting that a literal reading of the Sanskrit carries a pejorative connotation. If this interpretation is correct, this reference to the occultation of the Mahdī in the Kālacakra's list of mleccha teachers strongly suggests that this list was obtained from a Shī'ī source:

Belief in the coming of the Mahdī of the Family of the Prophet became a central aspect of the faith in radical <u>Sh</u>ī'ism in contrast to Sunnism. Distinctively <u>Sh</u>ī'ī was also the common belief in a temporary absence or occultation (<u>ghayba</u>) of the Mahdī and his eventual return in glory (El v.1235b).

The Kālacakra literature pays special attention to the seventh of the mleccha teachers listed above. The person responsible for the introduction of the barbarian religion will be "Muḥammad, the incarnation

derived solely from an Islamic source, and there is no evidence that the authors of the Kālacakra had knowledge of Manichæism or any other Western religion apart from Islam (NEWMAN 1987b: 603-9).

 $(avat\bar{a}ra)^{21}$ of ar-Raḥmān, the teacher of the barbarian religion, the guru and master (*svāmin*) of the barbarian Tāyin" (*madhumatī rahmaņāvatāro mlecchadharmadeśako mlecchānāṃ tāyināṃ guruḥ svāmī*) (I.3; cf. 1.2; II.2,6). As noted above, the epoch of the Kālacakra astronomy is based on the era of Muḥammad, the Hijra era (I.3). In the Śrī Kālacakra the Buddha prophesies that in fourteen hundred years²² Muḥammad will introduce the demonic religion of the barbarian Tāyin in the city of Baghdād (*vāgadā*) (I.4,5) in the land of Makka (*makha*) (I.2,5; II.3). There is, of course, some confusion here. Muḥammad (d. 632 CE) was not born in Baghdād (founded 762 CE; EI i.894b ff.), and Makka is not a "land." However, it is easily understandable that a writer in 11th century India, just beyond the fringe of the Muslim conquests, could have made Makka – the birthplace of Muḥammad and Islam's holiest city – into a country, and then placed in it Baghdād, the nominal political center of the Sunnī Islamic world.

Barbarian Ideology

What, then, are the beliefs of the followers of Muhammad? The *mleccha* Tāyin worship a mighty, merciless, demonic death-deity named ar-Raḥmān (*rahmaņ*)²³ (I.3,7,10). Here we recognize the most common Muslim epithet for Allāh: in Arabic ar-Raḥmān means "The Benefactor" (EI i.406b ff., 408a, 1084b-85a). Ar-Raḥmān is the Creator who creates all animate and inanimate things for the enjoyment of the Tāyin (I.7) (EI i.407a).

By satisfying ar-Raḥmān a man achieves bliss in heaven; by displeasing ar-Raḥmān a man suffers in hell (I.7,10) (EI i.408b-9a, 412b-13a).

- 21. In the bilingual Arabic-Sanskrit coins minted in the Punjab by Mahmūd of Ghaznī in the Tājika years (tājikīyena samvatā) 418 and 419 (i.e., 418-19 A.H. = 1027-28 CE), the Sanskrit avatāra is used to translate Arabic rasūl 'messenger' or 'prophet' as an epithet of Muhammad in the kalima: avyaktam eka muhammada avatāra nṛpati mahamuda (SIRCAR 1983: 650-51). The Vimala-prabhā (1.3) refers to "the incarnation of the [barbarian] death-deity in battle" (samgrāme māradevatāvatāram).
- 22. The Kālacakra chronology places the Buddha in the 8th century BCE; see below, and NEWMAN 1998.
- 23. This is a consonant-final stem, declined like a root-stem. Thus in Srī Kālacakra 2.158d and Vimalaprabhā 2.7.158cd (1.7) we find the instrumental rahmanā and the genitive rahmanah. LORENZEN (1972: 62) reports "a Rahamāna (a Muslim)" in a list of heretics found in Yasahpāla's Moharājaparājaya, which he dates to ca. 1175 (1972: 49, n. 141).

At death a man is judged by ar-Rahmān, and experiences his destiny in heaven or hell in that very corporeal human form (I.10) (EI i.407a, 1092a). The Tāyin assert that the person is spontaneously generated (*upapāduka-pudgala*; cf. EDGERTON 1972: 162-63), an epiphenomenon (*upapattyangika-pudgala*) of the material human body it inhabits (I.14). The Tāyin reject the Buddhist notion that a person's experiences are the results of his karma; they reject the concept of reincarnation (I.10); and they deny that there is any sort of nirvana beside the achievement of heaven (I.14).

Barbarian Religious Practices

From the Buddhist perspective, the most repugnant feature of the barbarian religion is the practice of animal sacrifice. The *mlecchas* slit the throats of animals while saying the mantra of their demonic deity Viṣavimlā, 'Withered by Poison'; that is, *bismillāh* – "in the name of Allāh" (I.1; cf. III.1).²⁴ The *mleccha* religion prescribes eating the flesh of animals slaughtered with this mantra, and prohibits consuming the meat of those that die naturally, that die due to their own karma (I.1; cf. I.6).²⁵ Violation of this precept bars one from entrance to heaven (IV.2; cf. II.1).

24. El ii.213b: "For the [sacrificial victim] to be validly put to death and the animal concerned to be permissible as food [the correct method must be employed]. Otherwise the dead animal will be regarded as carrion (mayta) and therefore legally unfit for consumption except in the case of absolute necessity. At the moment of slaughter it is obligatory to have the necessary intention and to invoke the name of God. [I.e., the basmala. El i.1084a: "The invocation of the basmala, at the beginning of every important act, calls down the divine blessing upon this act and consecrates it."] ... The [method of slaughter] consists of slitting the throat, including the trachea and the oesophagus; ... the head is not to be severed."

The Buddhist doctrine of non-violence, on the other hand, extends even to prohibit consumption of the meat of animals killed specifically for the consumer: "[I]f the monk who received meat and the donor who gave it to him were not responsible for killing the animal, if they had neither seen, heard, nor suspected that the animal had been killed on purpose for him, then the meat was pure; eating it was not an offense [against the monastic code]" (WIJAYARATNA 1990: 71). The same principle, in theory at least, is applied to the Buddhist laity. In both cases, however, the issue of 'responsibility' is narrowly defined, being restricted to actual slaughter or direct inducement to slaughter.

25. El¹ iii.156: "Maita ... means an animal that has died in any way other than by slaughter. In later terminology the word means firstly an animal that has not been slain in the ritually prescribed fashion, the flesh of which therefore cannot be

Not only do the barbarians eat forbidden and repulsive foods, they eat at odd times as well. While Buddhist ascetics take their meals during the day before noon, Tāyin ascetics dine after sundown and at night (I.6; IV.2). The fact that the texts refer specifically to 'ascetic' fasting may indicate knowledge of the Islamic voluntary fast (EI¹ iv.196a) rather than the obligatory fast of Ramadān (EI¹ iv.194b). In any case, the Islamic tradition of fasting during the daytime and eating at night (EI¹ iv.193b) is quite contrary to normative Buddhist asceticism, which restricts meals to the period between sunrise and noon (WIJAYARATNA 1990: 68).

Tāyin religious garb is also contrary to Buddhist conventions. Buddhist ascetics wear red clothing, and are forbidden the white clothing of Indian laymen. *Mleccha* ascetics (*tapasvin*) dress in white, but there is no rule on this matter for barbarian laymen (I.6; cf. I.5,11). The Buddhist monastic code prohibits monks and nuns from wearing white clothing, and common Indian ascetic tradition advocates the wearing of ochre (WIJAYARATNA 1990: 37, cf. 32). However, the Kālacakra's specification that *mleccha* ascetics wear *white* is intriguing. We are not given enough information to identify with certainty the Muslim tradition alluded to, but as noted above, it may refer to some segment of the Mubayyida ('White-Clad') movement.

Mleccha prayer practices are also noteworthy. The Tāyin first wash, then they bow down, five times each day: at noon, in the afternoon, in the evening, at midnight, and at sunrise (II.4). When praising their deity they kneel (I.6), and draw in their limbs like a tortoise (I.12). This is a brief but fairly accurate description of the Islamic ritual prayer. The obligatory ritual ablution is mentioned (EI¹ iv.97b), and the order of the five services corresponds exactly to the traditional presentation of the sequence (EI¹ iii.492b-93a). The 'bowing' presumably refers to the sud<u>i</u> \overline{u} d; the 'kneeling' to the <u>di</u>ulus (EI¹ iv.99b).

The barbarian religion demands something of its followers that the author of the *Paramārthasevā* found bizarre. It reads: "The Tāyin cut the skin from the tips of their penises²⁶ as a cause for happiness in heaven" (IV.2). Although the Muslim doctors of jurisprudence differ as

eaten...". By way of contrast, the *Vimalaprabhā*'s apology for meat consumption in Vajrayāna ritual notes that Buddhist tantric yogis indeed consume various types of flesh (albeit in tiny pellets), but such meat must not be the product of a sacrifice, and the animal should preferably have died of natural causes (NEWMAN 1987b: 265-67).

26. El v.20b: "As regards males it is obligatory to cut off the whole skin which covers the glans, so that this latter is wholly denuded."

to whether circumcision is obligatory or merely customary (El v.20a), its importance is well established in Islamic practice.²⁷

Barbarian iconoclasm is also mentioned: the Tāyin cavalry conduct raids to destroy Buddhist and non-Buddhist temples containing anthropomorphic images (II.4; cf. II.5,6; I.8). During the first quarter of the 11th century Maḥmūd of Ghaznī made greed-motivated, religiously sanctioned raids on Indian temples a key element of his very active foreign policy.²⁸ Given the date of the Kālacakra texts, their references to Muslim iconoclasm must refer to the expeditions of Maḥmūd, and they are echoes of the terrible jihad he visited upon northwestern India.

A Buddhist Appraisal of Islam

We may summarize the Kālacakra tantra's perception of Islamic beliefs and practices as follows: from the Buddhist point of view Islam is demonic and perverse, a perfect anti-religion which is the antithesis of Buddhism (*daitya-dharma*; *asura-dharma*; *atyantādharma*; *adharma*) (I.13,14,15; see also Vimalaprabhā 1.8.22: viparyāsa-dharma). Islam's theology of an omnipotent Creator who consigns men to heaven or hell based on their pleasing or displeasing him is classed with the lowest of Indian ideologies.²⁹ The Islamic belief that ar-Raḥmān makes his

- 27. EI v.20b: "To the uneducated mass of Muslims ... as well as to the great mass of non-Muslims, both of whom pay the greatest attention to formalities, abstention from pork, together with circumcision, have even to a certain extent become the criteria of Islam. The exaggerated estimation of the two precepts finds no support in the law, for here they are on the same level with numerous other precepts, to which the mass attaches less importance."
- 28. See, e.g., GANGULY 1979: 5-23. GANGULY (1979: 23) is no doubt correct that "[Mahmūd's] ruthless destruction of temples and images ... violated the most sacred and cherished sentiments of the Indian people, and his championship of Islam therefore merely served to degrade it in their eyes such as nothing else could." Referring to expeditions of plunder such as those of Mahmūd, WINK (1990: 302) says, "The Turkish conquest of Northern India was, in the final analysis, a goldrush..." He also makes the important point that "the rhetoric of the 'holy war'" in Muslim conquest historiography tends to obscure the underlying political and economic objectives of the Muslim invaders (WINK 1990: 196-201).
- 29. In the Kälacakra doxography Islamic dogma does not merit an independent refutation (NEWMAN 1992: 227-28; GRÖNBOLD 1992). On the one hand, Islamic creationism is covered by the standard Buddhist refutation of Īśvara, Viṣṇu or Brahmā as creator (see Śrī Kālacakra 2.162; GRÖNBOLD 1992: 287-88); on the other, the Islamic doctrine that Allāh punishes and rewards humans based on their obedience to his law is passed over in silence. Also, Tāyin beliefs are placed in the same verse as those of the Lokāyata, materialists whom the Buddhists

followers cut off their foreskins in order to enter heaven is viewed as exotic and bizarre.

The Kālacakra tantra represents Islam as a religion of violence ($hims\bar{a}$ dharma) that advocates savage behavior (raudra-karman) (I.6). It understands the consecration in the name of Allāh of animals to be slaughtered as an animal sacrifice to the barbarian god, who is a merciless deity of death ($m\bar{a}ra$ - $devat\bar{a}$) (I.1,5), a god of darkness (II.6) comparable to Rāhu, the demon who devours the sun and the moon (II.4). The texts exhibit concern about the destructive raids of Tāyin cavalry on the Buddhist and non-Buddhist temples of India (II.4,6). The Kālacakra tantra presents several strategies for dealing with the new Islamic challenge.

Buddhist Strategies for Dealing with Islam

First, the tantra prohibits its own adherents from participating in the barbarian religion. Second, it holds out the possibility of converting the barbarians to Buddhism. Third, it uses the threat of Islam in antibrahman polemics. Finally, it prophesies a Buddhist holy war against Islam.

As an item in a list of twenty-five prohibited behaviors, the $Sr\bar{i}$ K $\bar{a}lacakra$ requires that initiates into this tantra refrain from practicing Islam (I.13). This contrasts starkly with the tantra's general attitude of tolerance towards the performance of non-violent forms of $t\bar{i}rthika$ religious practice,³⁰ and it no doubt derives from the tantra's perception of Islam as being intrinsically contrary (*taddharmavirodhi*) (I.6) to the Buddhist principle of non-violence.

While recognizing the violent tendencies of the barbarian Tāyin, the Kālacakra does not abandon them as being completely outside the range of the Buddha's compassion. It asserts that with skillful means the Buddha is able to wean the *mlecchas* away from their own crude dogma about the person, and to convert them to the personalist doctrine of the

consider to be nihilists. I assume this is due to a perceived similarity between Lokāyata materialism and the Islamic doctrine that a person is inextricably connected to his material body.

^{30.} For example, Śrī Kālacakra 3.169 and Vimalaprabhā 3.5.169 allow the Kālacakra initiate who understands reality to behave as a Buddhist, a Śaiva, a naked Paramahamsa, a Vaisnava, a householder who has undergone brahmanical initiation, a brahman, a Kāpālika, a Jaina, an ordinary householder, a guard, a silent hermit, a madman, a Kaula, a scholar, or a pupil.

Buddhist Vaibhāşika school. Then, once they have heard the transcendent doctrine of the bodhisattvas, some barbarians can indeed eventually go on to achieve the path of perfect buddhahood (I.14).

Islam also appears in the Kālacakra in the context of Buddhist antibrahman polemics. The *Vimalaprabhā* compares the practice of animal sacrifice expounded in the Vedic tradition to the animal sacrifice of the *mlecchas*, and concludes that they amount to the same thing in so far as both depend on killing living beings. It then warns brahman followers of the Veda that if they do not convert to Vajrayāna Buddhism, their descendants will eventually become barbarians. It says that since there is no difference between the *mleccha* religion and the Vedic religion with respect to animal sacrifice, when the brahmans see the power of the barbarians in war, and the might of the barbarian death-deity, they will convert to the barbarian religion. Once the brahmans have converted, it says, the other castes will follow (I.1; cf. I.9; IV.1).

The Kālacakra texts also use Muslim marriage practices to poke fun at brahman caste pretensions. To the brahman claim that their caste was born from the mouth of Brahmā (cf. Rg Veda 10.90.11-12 [O'FLAHERTY 1981: 31]; Manu 1.31 [DONIGER 1991: 6-7]), the Buddhists reply that since female brahmans must originate from the same source, it follows that the brahmans commit incest, just like the *mlecchas*. This being the case, the brahmans degrade their caste, which according to brahmanical law results in birth in hell (I.9; IV.1; cf. II.3).

In retrospect we can see that defusing the barbarian threat by converting the *mlecchas* to Buddhism, or rallying Indians against foreign invasion by converting brahmans to Buddhism, had little chance for success. The Kālacakra tantra apparently recognizes this fact. Its primary approach to dealing with Islam is to counter the actual jihad of the Muslim invaders of South Asia with a prophetic apocalyptic myth of a Buddhist holy war against Islam. This Buddhist crusade is given both an exoteric and an esoteric interpretation, and we will consider each in turn.³¹

The Kālacakra tantra prophesies that in the future, in the last phase of the current degenerate age (kali-yuga), the barbarians will dominate southern Asia. All true religion will die out in the barbarian realm, and only the *mleccha* dharma will flourish. The *mleccha*s will be ruled by

^{31.} For previous treatments of this topic see NEWMAN 1985: 54-58, 78-80; 1987b: 578-654; 1995; 1996: 486-87.

their Mahdī named Kṛnmati.³² Finally, at the very end of the age, the messianic Buddhist warrior-king Kalkin Raudra Cakrin – a reincarnation of Mañjuśrī Yaśas, the first Kalkin of Sambhala – will appear in the Inner Asian land of Sambhala. This bodhisattva emperor will lead the army of Sambhala in a righteous crusade against the barbarian army of Makka, and in Baghdād the war will erupt with the forces of Sambhala and the brahmanical gods on one side, the *mlecchas* and the demons on the other. Having killed the barbarian Mahdī and utterly annihilated the barbarian horde, Kalkin Cakrin will re-establish the Buddha Dharma, and will reign over a new golden age of happiness, prosperity, and righteousness.³³

So much for the exoteric version of the myth. As mentioned previously, the Kālacakra tantra is primarily a mystical system of soteriology. In the esoteric interpretation the external war against the barbarians of Makka is explained to be a mere illusion that Kalkin Cakrin will conjure up to destroy the arrogance of the *mlecchas*:

At the termination of the age, having seen the absolute anti-religion of the barbarians, [Kalkin Cakrin] will become as still as a mountain. By means of the mental concentration of the supreme horse, he will radiate limitless supreme horses that

32. Although it is not explicit in the *tantra*, I understand Kṛnmati, referred to in $Sr\bar{r}$ Kālacakra 1.163 and 2.48, to be another name for Mathanī, the Mahdī referred to in $Sr\bar{r}$ Kālacakra 1.154. In this I agree with HOFFMANN (1960: 98). Mathanī is the last of the prophesied Muslim teachers, and Kṛnmati is the *mleccha* opponent whom Kalkin Cakrin will slay at the end of the *kaliyuga* (see NEWMAN 1995: 288-89). Thus I assume the author of the *tantra* envisaged an apocalypse in which the Buddhist Kalkin defeats the Muslim Mahdī.

The verbal root krt means "to cut, cut off, divide, tear asunder, cut in pieces, destroy" (APTE 1986: 598), and matih means, among other things, "intellect, heart, thought, intention, inclination" (APTE 1986: 1224). Thus, krnmatih can be interpreted as a tatpurusa: "the intention to destroy." This accords well with Vimalaprabhā 2.3.48d, comment on Sri Kālacakra 2.48d: "the external Krnmati is, in the body, the path of non-virtue that gives suffering" (yo bāhye krnmatir duḥkhadātā akuśalapatha iti dehe). Therefore, in the exoteric aspect of the apocalypse Kalkin Cakrin, the Buddhist messiah, will thwart the Mahdī's intention to give further suffering to humankind. In the esoteric aspect of the apocalypse, Kalkin Cakrin, i.e., adamantine mind (vajrin, cittavajra), destroys the inclination towards evil that gives rise to samsaric suffering.

I suspect Krnmati, like most of the other names for Muslim prophets found in the Kālacakra texts, is a pejorative transposition from an Arabic original, but I am unable to determine the underlying Arabic.

33. This prophesy is a Buddhist adaptation of the Vaisnava myth of Kalki of Sambhala, the prophesied avatāra of Visnu; see NEWMAN 1995.

will dismay the barbarians, thus establishing them in his own Dharma. He will eradicate their dharma, not kill them (I.15).

The actual war takes place within the human microcosm. In the Kālacakra mysticism the war on the *mlecchas* serves as an allegory for the personal transformation that is the main subject of the tantra. In this interpretation the barbarian Mahdī symbolizes the path of nonvirtue. The *mleccha* army represents malice, ill-will, jealousy, and attachment. The Buddhist Kalkin is the gnosis of bliss and emptiness, and the Buddhist army stands for love, compassion, sympathy, and equanimity. Thus the myth of the external Armageddon is an allegory for the inner war of the spiritual path. The internal Buddhist jihad occurs when the gnosis actualized by the Kālacakra yoga destroys nescience and produces the golden age of enlightenment.³⁴

The dual use of the Buddhist holy war against Islam exemplifies the basic structure of the Kālacakra tantra. In the macrocosm the prophesy of the destruction of the barbarians represents an apocalyptic revelation of the future of the world. In the microcosm the eradication of personal barbarism – ignorance and vice – ushers in a new age of enlightenment. Thus, in the Kālacakra the myth of the defeat of evil illustrates both the prophesied end of the world and the ultimate destiny of a human being.

Conclusion

The Kālacakra tantra's depiction of Islam can only be understood by placing it in its historical context. As al-Bīrūnī reports, Maḥmūd of Ghaznī's raids into northwestern India during the early decades of the 11th century must have engendered "the most inveterate aversion towards all Muslims" in the minds of many Indians. Maḥmūd's wide-

34. Among the meanings of djihād is "an effort directed upon oneself for the attainment of moral and religious perfection. Certain writers, particularly among those of <u>Shī</u>'ite persuasion, qualify this djihād as 'spiritual djihād' and as 'the greater djihād', in opposition to the djihād [of military action] which is called 'physical djihād' or 'the lesser djihād.' It is, however, very much more usual for the term djihād to denote this latter form of "effort"' (EI ii.538a).

The notion of 'holy war' is fundamentally alien to Buddhist doctrine, but the Kālacakra's mythic eschatology is a product of indigenous Indian thought rather than a borrowing from Islam. The exoteric myth of the Buddhist Kalkins of Sambhala is clearly drawn from the Vaiṣṇava tradition, and the internalized version of this myth is, I believe, a vision original to the author the Kālacakra tantra. It is nonetheless ironic that Buddhists adopted the idea of holy war from Hinduism in response to the religiously justified military aggression of Muslims.

spread looting and destruction of major temples and pilgrimage centers in the name of Islam no doubt led some Indians to associate Islam with barbaric violence, iconoclasm, and religious persecution.

The authors of the Kalacakra tantra responded to the new Islamic presence by investigating it and interpreting it in their own cultural and religious categories. We should first note the factuality with which the Kalacakra tantra depicts Islamic beliefs and practices. There is no discernible attempt to portray Muslims as monsters by falsifying or distorting their ideology and behavior. On the contrary, the texts even report that the barbarians are truthful, clean, honest, and chaste. Although the authors clearly found Islam to be exotic and evil, they appear to have faithfully recorded their observations of it. Indeed, it is quite remarkable that in terms of comprehensiveness, detail, and accuracy the Kālacakra's representation of Islam is superior to the sum total of pre-modern Muslim knowledge of Buddhism.³⁵ This relatively complete and accurate portrayal of Islam in the Kalacakra tantra leads us to assume that its authors had fairly extensive direct contact with Muslims. The Kālacakra account of Islamic theology and Muslim refutations of Buddhist dogma even conjures up an image of a Buddhist-Muslim doctrinal discussion.

However, the authors of the Kālacakra tantra were not ethnographers dispassionately studying an alien culture, they were Indian Vajrayāna Buddhist mystics who perceived Islam to be a mortal threat to their own tradition. We can understand the mythology of the Kālacakra tantra as a classic example of a "crisis cult."³⁶ Crisis cults form when religious traditions confronted with real crises respond by creating myth to interpret and cope with their predicaments. Apocalyptic eschatology and millenarian messianism are hallmarks of crisis cults. As we have seen, Islam appears in the Kālacakra tantra in Buddhist prophecies depicting the end of the current age of degeneration, the *kaliyuga*. In fact the tantra as a whole is a "yoga for the liberation of men at the time of the *kaliyuga*."³⁷ The idea that the *kaliyuga* is characterized by the advent of *mleccha* rulers and *mleccha-dharma* has a long history in brahmanical

- 35. For pre-modern Muslim treatments of Buddhism see SACHAU 1989: I. xlv-xlvii, I.8 (II.253-54), I.21 (II.261); GIMARET 1969; SMITH 1973; LAWRENCE 1976: 42-43, 100-14.
- 36. On crisis cults, see LA BARRE 1970.
- 37. Śrī Kālacakra 1.1d: yogam śrīkālacakre kaliyugasamaye muktihetor narāņām.

religious literature.³⁸ The authors of the Kalacakra tantra appropriate this brahmanical mythic topos, make it Buddhist by placing it in the mouth of the Buddha, and use it to explain their contemporary situation: the Muslim invaders are naturally identified as the mlecchas of the kaliyuga, and Islam, of course, is the mleccha-dharma of this age of decadence. Also, the appearance of Islam is not understood in mere human terms; instead it is viewed as an apocalyptic irruption of evil, a demonic anti-religion that threatens to entirely eclipse the Buddha Dharma.³⁹ In response to this threat the Kālacakra tantra prophesies an eschatology in which a Buddhist messiah will use magical means to purify the world of Islam, thereby instituting a new age of perfection. Furthermore, the Islamic incursion into India is not treated as a unique historical event. It is depicted as the current instance of a phase that repeats regularly in the never-ending cycle of time: at the end of every age men become barbarians, and the Buddhist messiah returns to free the world from barbarism (II.6). Thus the Kalacakra's representation of Islam can be viewed as an example of the tendency in classical Indian religions to represent historical events in mythic, trans-historical terms.

In the introduction to his monumental *al-Hind*, al-Bīrūnī displays a scientific attitude toward the Indian Other:

This book is not a *polemical* one. I shall not produce the arguments of our antagonists in order to refute such of them as I believe to be wrong. My book is nothing but *a simple historic record of facts* (SACHAU 1989: 7, emphasis in the original).

Al-Bīrūnī could relax in the knowledge that he belonged to the cultural elite of the strongest state in his region, and to a rapidly expanding religious tradition that had recently come to dominate much of the known world. The authors of the Kālacakra tantra – al-Bīrūnī's contemporaries – were of course on the other side of this epoch-making clash of civi-

- 38. Designating the social and religious practices of foreign invaders as mlecchadharma is an old trope drawn from the Mahābhārata and the Purāņas that is closely associated with the Vaiṣṇava myth of the Kalki avatāra (see, e.g., THAPAR 1971: 421). The Kālacakra tantra seems to be the earliest instance of the application of this concept to Islam.
- 39. The Kālacakra appears to be the earliest example of a tendency that continued throughout medieval times in India. See TALBOT 1995: 695-99, "The Muslim as Demonic Barbarian," especially p. 699: "... Muslims were demonized, that is, represented as being like the demons of ancient myth who engaged in endless battle against the forces of good."

lizations; they perceived themselves as members of a culture under attack. This being so, it is not surprising that they take a less 'scientific' attitude toward the barbarians at the gate, and resort to the mythic demonization that provides a context for their account of Islam. In any case we must credit the authors of the Kālacakra tantra with a remarkable degree of prescience. Less than two hundred years after the revelation of the Kālacakra, Muslim Turks swept over the Gangetic plain and devastated the major centers of Buddhist learning, irrevocably altering the fate of Buddhism in the land of its birth.

Arabic	Sanskrit	Tibetan	English	References
bismillāh	vișavimlā ("withered by poison")	bi și mi lla / bi ŝi bi lla	"in the name of Allah"	I.1; III.1
Makka	makha	ma kha	Mecca	I.2,5; II.3,6
Arabic: <i>Țayyi'</i> Pahlavī: <i>Tāzīg</i>	tāyin (= tājika)	stag gzig		I.2,3,7,10; II.1,4,7; IV.2
Muḥammad	<i>madhumatī</i> ("wino")	sbraň rtsi'i blo gros / ma dhu ma ti	Muhammad	1.3; 11.2,6
	<i>madhupati</i> ("wine- master")	sbran rtsi'i blo gros [sic]		1.5
ar-Raḥmān	rahmaṇ	rahma ṇa	"Beneficent One"	1.3,7,10
Ba <u>gh</u> dād	vāgadā ("giver of speech")	bā ga dā	Baghdad	I.4,5
Ādam	arda	ā dra [sic]	Adam	I.5
Nūķ	nogha	a no gha [sic]	Noah	1.5
Ibrāhīm	varāhī ("swineherd")	phag ldan	Abraham	I.5
Mūsā	mūșa ("mouse")	byi ba	Moses	I.5
'Îsā	īśa ("lord")	dban po	Jesus	I.5
al-Mahdī	mathanī ("destroyer")	'joms byed	the Mahdi	I.5

Appendix: Arabic Loanwords in the Kālacakra Tantra

PART 2: TRANSLATIONS

I.1. [*Vimalaprabhā* 1.3. This passage is a portion of a lecture Yaśas, the first Kalkin of Sambhala, delivered to Sūryaratha, leader of the brahman sages of Sambhala. For a translation of the entire lecture see NEWMAN 1985: 59-63; 1987b: 304-14.]

"[Sūryaratha, you and the other brahman sages must be initiated into the Kālacakra, and eat, drink and form marriage relations with the *vajra* family (*vajrakulam*) of the Vajrayāna.] Otherwise, after eight hundred years have elapsed your descendants will engage in the barbarian dharma and will teach the barbarian dharma in the ninety-six great lands of Sambhala and so forth. Using the mantra of the barbarian deity Bismillāh,⁴⁰ they will slit the throats of animals with cleavers. Then they will prescribe eating the flesh of those beasts killed with the mantra of their own deity, and will prohibit eating the flesh of those that die due to their own karma. That very dharma is authoritative for you [brahman sages] because of the statement in the *smrti*: 'Beasts are created for sacrifice' (*Manusmrti* 5.39a). With regard to killing there is no difference between the barbarian dharma and the Vedic dharma.

"Therefore, your descendents will see the valor of those barbarians and the incarnation of their death deity (*māradevatāvatāram*) in battle, and in the future, after eight hundred years have elapsed, they will become barbarians. Once they have become barbarians, everyone dwelling in the nine-hundred-and-sixty million villages [in Sambhala, etc.], the four castes and so forth, will also become barbarians. For the brahman sages say: 'Where the great man goes, that is the path' (*Mahābhārata* 3.297; appendix p. 1089, 1. 68).

"In the barbarian dharma as well as in the Vedic dharma one must kill for the sake of the deities and the ancestors, and the same is true in the dharma of the kshatriyas. For the brahman sages say: 'Having satisfied the ancestors and the gods, there is no fault in eating flesh' ($Y\bar{a}j\bar{n}a$ valkyasmrti 1.5.178cd); and likewise: 'I see no fault in one who would

 "In the name of Allāh." VP (S) B: vişavimlā; VP (S) U: vişavilvā (MSS do not distinguish ba and va); VP (T): bi şi mi lla; annotation to VP (T): "Ma [i.e., rMa dGe ba'i blo gros's translation of the Vimalaprabhā] says, bi smin ra ma lha'i"; Svadaršanamatoddeša [section (III.1) below]: bi ši bi lla. Cf. HOFFMANN 1969: 62, 64-65; GRÖNBOLD 1992: 280, n. 26. do ill to a vicious [beast]' [quotation unidentified].

"Thus, holding the Vedic dharma to be authoritative, they will adopt the barbarian dharma. For this reason, so that in the future you will not enter the barbarian dharma, I give you this precept. Therefore, you venerable sirs must obey my command [to take initiation into the Kālacakra]."

I.2. [Śrī Kālacakra 1.26 and Vimalaprabhā 1.9.26.]

Now I will explain "from the first year" and so forth, with which the Tathāgata prophesied the appearance of Mañjuśrī in the land of Sambhala, the corruption of the astronomical *siddhāntas* (*jyotiṣa-siddhānta*) due to the appearance of the barbarian dharma, and the introduction of the *laghukaraṇa*.

Śrī Kālacakra 1.26

Six hundred years from the first year, king Yaśa will clearly appear in the [land] called 'Sambhala.' $N\bar{a}ga$ [8] hundred years after that, the barbarian dharma will definitely appear in the land of Makka. At that time people on earth should know the clear *laghukarana*. The corruption of the *siddhāntas* on the entire surface of the earth will occur in the yoga of time.

Regarding, "Six hundred years from the first year, king Yaśa will clearly appear in [the land] called 'Sambhala'": "The first" is the year the Tathāgata taught the Dharma. Six hundred years from that year – "Yaśa" is the reading in the text,⁴¹ i.e., the great Yaśas – Mañjuśrī, "will clearly appear" in the land named Sambhala north of the Śītā River.⁴² This means "[Mañjuśrī] will take up an emanation body [and appear as king Yaśas]."

" $N\bar{a}ga$ [8] hundred years after that," refers to [eight hundred years] after Yaśas' nirvana. " $N\bar{a}ga$," i.e., in eight hundred years, "definitely," i.e., certainly, the barbarian dharma will appear in the land of Makka. The demonic dharma of the barbarian Tāyin will appear in the land of Makka [- the land of the Muslims (sog yul)⁴³ -] endowed with ten

- 41. That is, "yaśa" in the text of the *tantra* lacks a case ending. On irregular grammar in the early Kālacakra literature, see NEWMAN 1988.
- 42. The Śītā River can be identified as the Tarim River in Eastern Turkestan, and Sambhala corresponds to the region north of the Tian Shan; see NEWMAN 1996: 487.
- 43. The Tibetan ethnonym sog po was applied to various peoples at different times (see HOFFMANN 1971, especially pp. 442-43). The itinerary of Urgyan pa (13th cent.) edited and translated by Tucci explicitly gives "Muslim" as a synonym for

million villages, south of the Śītā [River]. At that time of the barbarians, people on the earth should know the clear *laghukarana*.⁴⁴

Regarding, "the corruption of the *siddhāntas*": The *Brahma*, *Sauram*, *Yamanakam* [= *Yavana*?; *gcer bu pa rnams*], and *Romakam* (*sgra gcan*) are the *siddhāntas*.⁴⁵ The corruption of these four is the corruption of the *siddhāntas*.

Regarding, "on the entire earth-surface": 'Entire' refers to everywhere on the surface of the earth south of the Sita that the $t\bar{t}rthika siddhantas$ decline – there on the surface of the earth. It is not the case that the Buddhist siddhanta in the lands of Sambhala and so forth will be corrupted.

Regarding, "will occur in the yoga of time": The yoga of time is the barbarian dharma. The joining (yoga; sbyor ba) of that with the $[t\bar{r}rthika]$ siddhāntas is the yoga of time – it will occur due to that yoga of time.⁴⁶ Regarding, "in the yoga of time": [It should be in the ablative case, i.e., "due to," and the locative case, i.e., "in," is used. Thus,] the locative case is used for the ablative case.⁴⁷ [Thus, the meaning is "due to the yoga of time."]

I.3 [*Śrī Kālacakra* 1.27a and *Vimalaprabhā* 1.9.27a. This passage establishes the astronomical epoch of the Kālacakra *laghukaraṇa*. For a study

sog po: la la na hin dhu źes pa rgya gar pa yod | la la na mu sur man źes pa sog po yod (TUCCI 1940: 94); "In some places there are 'Hindus,' Indians. In some places there are 'Mussulmans,' sog po" (cf. TUCCI's interpretation on p. 45). Here and elsewhere in Bu ston's annotations to VP (T) I believe the translation "Muslim" is called for.

- 44. "Siddhāntas are comprehensive treatises deducing mean motions from the beginning of the Kalpa or the current Kaliyuga; karaņas are more concise expositions of astronomy in which the mean longitudes for a time close to the date of composition are given, and the mean longitudes at later times are computed therefrom..." (PINGREE 1981: 13-14).
- Vimalaprabhā 1.9.86 [VP (S) U I.118; VP (S) T 552], commenting on Śrī Kālacakra 1.86, repeats this list. For these siddhāntas see PINGREE 1981: 11, 13-17, 21-26.
- 46. PINGREE (1981:30; cf. p. 34) says: "The influence of Islamic Ptolemaic theory upon Indian astronomy can be traced back to Muñjāla in the tenth century..." The Kālacakra astronomy presents itself as a reaction against *mleccha* "corruption" of the *tīrthika siddhāntas* (NEWMAN 1987b: 531-38).
- 47. See NEWMAN 1988:130.

of the Indian, Tibetan, and Western interpretations of this see NEWMAN 1998.]

Now the laghukarana [of the $Sr\bar{i}$ Kālacakra] is discussed: "Add ... to fire [3] sky [0] ocean [4]."⁴⁸

Śrī Kālacakra 1.27a

Add the expired *prabhava* year to fire [3] sky [0] ocean [4] – this establishes the [expired] year of the barbarian.

The epoch (*dhruvaka*; *nes pa*) in the $[Sr\bar{r} K\bar{a}lacakra]$ King of Tantra is transient because the epoch is reset at the end of the sexagenary cycle.

The epoch in the karana – the era of [Kalkin] Mañjuśrī [Yaśas] – is six hundred years after the era of the Tathāgata. The era of the barbarian is eight hundred years after that. The era of Kalkin Aja – the Aja who corrected the laghukarana – is one hundred and eighty-two years prior to that era of the barbarian. That very era [of Aja] is the epoch in the karana, [calculated] from the barbarian year.

Regarding "the expired prabhava year": Prabhava is the beginning – i.e., the first – of the sexagenary cycle that begins with prabhava. The year preceding the particular current year among these is the expired prabhava year. Adding [the numerical value of its position in the sexagenary cycle] to the quantity four hundred and three establishes the [expired] barbarian year. Having made one year the first, adding up to sixty years produces the expired prabhava year. That very [expired prabhava] year is established in all the other karanas, like Sunday and the other days of the week. Adding that to the quantity four hundred and three years establishes the [expired] barbarian year. The barbarian is Muhammad, the incarnation of ar-Raḥmān, the teacher of the barbarian dharma, the guru and leader of the barbarian Tāyin (mleccho madhumatī rahmaņāvatāro mlecchadharmadeśako mlecchānām tāyinām guruḥ svāmī).

48. vahnau khe 'bdhau; me mkha' rgya mtsho. "Fire [3] sky [0] ocean [4]" are "number symbols" (Tib. grangs brda). PINGREE (1981: 1) gives the Sanskrit as bhūtasankhyā, and explains that they are "common objects that appear or are understood to appear in the world in fixed quantities [used] as synonyms for those quantities." In combination the symbols are read right-to-left; thus, the above example symbolizes the number 403. See SK (S) B, appendix, for a list of these terms used in the Kālacakra corpus.

I.4. [Śrī Kālacakra 1.89d and Vimalaprabhā 1.9.89d.]

[$(Sr\bar{r} \ K\bar{a}lacakra \ 1.89d$:) At the end of the kaliyuga] a fierce war will occur on earth between the gods and the demons.

[(*Vimalaprabhā* 1.9.89d:) At the end of the *kaliyuga*] a fierce war will occur on earth in the city of Baghdād ($v\bar{a}gad\bar{a}$) between the gods and the demons – the barbarians.

1.5. [\hat{Srr} Kālacakra 1.154-155. For a translation and analysis of \hat{Srr} Kālacakra 1.150-170 see NEWMAN 1987b: 578-654; for a translation and discussion of \hat{Srr} Kālacakra 1.154-165 see NEWMAN 1995.]

Śrī Kālacakra 1.154

 \bar{A} dam, Nūḥ, and Ibrāhīm [are the first three barbarian teachers]; there are also five others whose nature is *tamas* in the family of demonic snakes: Mūsā, 'Īsā, the White-Clad One, Muḥammad, and the Mahdī, who will be the eighth – he will belong to the darkness. The seventh will clearly be born in the city of Baghdād in the land of Makka, where the demonic incarnation – the mighty, merciless idol of the barbarians – lives in the world.

Śrī Kālacakra 1.155

[The barbarians] kill camels, horses, and cattle, and briefly cook the flesh together with blood. They cook beef and amniotic fluid with butter and spice, rice mixed with vegetables, and forest fruit, all at once on the fire. Men eat that, O king, and drink bird eggs, in the place of the demon [barbarians].

I.6. [Śrī Kālacakra 2.98-99 and Vimalaprabhā 2.5.98-99.]

Now "Knowledge" etc., states the times knowledge ($jn\bar{a}na$; ye ses) arises for Buddhists and demonic barbarians:

Śrī Kālacakra 2.98

Knowledge arises for the Jinas in the sun, at daytime, at midnight [or] at daybreak. It arises for demons in the moon, at nightime, in the middle of the day [or] at the disappearance of the day. Those having correct and deceptive knowledge speak Sanskrit and Prakrit. Their actions in the three existences are peaceful and violent, heroic and vulgar.

Here, indeed, there are three types of yogic practice: Buddhist, demonic, and ghostly. With regard to that, Buddhist yoga consists of emptiness and compassion; demonic [barbarian yoga is] an imaginary dharma. Ghostly [*tīrthika* yogic practice] is of two types: eternalist and annihilationist. Likewise, there are three types of yogi, in accordance with their different yogic practices. Among them, knowledge arises for Buddhist yogis in the daytime. Knowledge arises for demonic yogis in the nighttime, and for ghostly yogis in the time other than [those] four watches.

With regard to the allotments of time: "Knowledge arises for the Jinas in the sun, at daytime, at midnight [or] at daybreak. It arises for demons in the moon, at nighttime, at midday [or] at the disappearance of the day." Since the ghosts are not mentioned [explicitly in the *tantra*, knowledge arises for them] in the time other than those watches.

The empowerment of knowledge occurs for Buddhists at midnight or at daybreak. [Thus, the Buddha achieved buddhahood at dawn.] The empowerment of knowledge occurs for demons at midday or sundown. It occurs for ghosts in the other four watches: in daytime the empowerment of eternalist knowledge, in nighttime the empowerment of annihilationist knowledge. With regard to the Buddhists and demons, Buddhists have correct knowledge, and demons have deceptive [knowledge] which is contrary to that [Buddhist] Dharma. Correct knowledge, like day vision, sees everything. Deceptive knowledge, like night vision, sees only a bit of the lives and deaths of sentient beings. How does one know that? – from [the truth and falsehood] of their dharma teachings.

With regard to, "Those having correct and deceptive knowledge speak Sanskrit and Prakrit": Those who have acquired correct knowledge speak Sanskrit, which consists of all utterances. Those who have acquired deceptive knowledge speak Prakrit because [their] teachers use the language of a single land.⁴⁹ The knowledge of the Buddhists teaches peaceful karma – it consists of compassion for all sentient beings. The knowledge of the demons teaches violent karma – it harms animals for meat-eating. In the three existences the knowledge of the Buddhists teaches heroic karma. On earth the knowledge of the demons teaches vulgar karma. On earth [the knowledge] of the ghosts teaches mixed karma. This is the instruction that teaches knowledge. II98II

Now "From midday" etc., states the mealtimes of the Buddhists and the demons:

^{49.} Compare this passage with Pundarīka's statement elsewhere in the Vimalaprabhā that the Buddha uses the omniscient language (sarvajñabhāşā) that consists of the utterances of all sentient beings, in contrast to the parochial (prādešika) Sanskrit language of the tīrthikas, which is tied up with birth as a god (NEWMAN 1988: 129-30). I assume the 'Prakrit of the demon barbarians' refers to Arabic.

Śrī Kālacakra 2.99

From midday to midnight, at day and at night, are the times they eat. Their foods are cooked rice and beef; their drinks are flavorful mixtures and the fluid of eggs. Their clothes are red and white. Like the paths of the sun and the moon, their abodes are heaven and the underworld. Their dharmas are non-violent and violent. In accordance with the precepts of their gurus, their sitting postures are the adamantine and the demonic. ||99||

Each day from midday [backwards] through to midnight is daytime. From midnight [backwards] until midday is nighttime. The mealtimes of Buddhist and barbarian ascetics are in those day- and nighttimes, in the latter half of their respective periods; for householders there is no precept. With regard to the food⁵⁰ and drink of the Buddhists and demons: Buddhists eat superior cooked rice, and the barbarian diet includes beef. Buddhists drink delicious, flavorful mixtures, and the barbarians drink the fluid of the eggs of fowl and so forth. Buddhists wear red cloth, and the barbarians wear white; this applies to ascetics, for householders there is no precept.

Likewise, after death their abodes are "like the paths of the sun and the moon" – the sun ascends and the moon descends. Like their paths, heaven, the path of the sun, is for the Buddhists, and the underworld – like the path of the moon – is for the demons. Likewise, the Bhagavān [Buddha] said in the *Sekoddeśa* of the basic tantra:

When all living beings die, the moon-nectar goes below, the sun-menses goes above, and Rāhu-consciousness takes on the characteristic of rebirth. || (Sekoddeśa 86)⁵¹

Likewise, their dharmas are as follows: the dharma of the Buddhists is non-violent, that of the barbarians is violent. The word "and" [in the *tantra* indicates that the dharma] of the ghosts [is violent also].

In accordance with the precepts of their gurus, when they meditate and when they praise their chosen deities the adamantine sitting posture is commended for Buddhists and the demonic sitting posture is commended for barbarians. The word "and" [in the *tantra*] indicates that at other [times they are] equal, neither prescribed nor prohibited. Here is

- 50. khānam; bza' ba. See also Vimalaprabhā 1.3 (VP (S) U I.27.13): khānapānam. I am grateful to Richard SALOMON for drawing my attention to this word, which is standard in Hindi but unusual in Sanskrit.
- 51. This verse alludes to the tantric doctrine that at death a person's natal semen and blood the zygote obtained from one's father and mother separates, with the semen exiting through the genitals and the blood exiting through the nose.

the demonic sitting posture: On the ground extend the left knee; on top of the left foot is the right foot. The word "and" [in the *tantra*] indicates that underneath [oneself] the right foot is on top of the sole of the left foot. The word "and" also indicates one is sitting back on one's buttocks. The adamantine sitting posture, etc., will be explained later [in the *tantra*; see (I.12) below]. This is the instruction on the practices of the Buddhists and the demons. ||99||

I.7. [Śrī Kālacakra 2.158cd and Vimalaprabhā 2.7.158cd. For previous study of this passage see NEWMAN 1987b: 606-7; GRÖNBOLD 1992: 277-78, 284.]

Now "The Creator" and so forth states the beliefs of the barbarian $T\bar{a}yin$.

Śrī Kālacakra 2.158cd

The Creator creates all mobile and immobile things for the enjoyment of the Tāyin. Men certainly attain heaven by satisfying him. That is the doctrine of ar-Raḥmān.

The Creator ar-Raḥmān creates all mobile – animate, and immobile – inanimate, things for the enjoyment of the "Tāyin" – the white-clad barbarians. Men certainly attain heaven by satisfying ar-Raḥmān; they attain hell by not satisfying him. That is the doctrine of ar-Raḥmān; [the barbarian] practices were stated above [in $Sr\bar{r}$ Kālacakra 2.98-99; see (I.6)]. This is the instruction on the beliefs of the Tāyin.

I.8. [*Vimalaprabhā* 2.7.160b, comment on *Śrī Kālacakra* 2.160b. See GRÖNBOLD 1992: 275-76, 286.]

Furthermore, the [brahmanical] scriptures claim: "When the Veda is nonexistent, when the barbarians have eradicated the Vedic dharma, then [Śrī Kālacakra 2.160b:] 'Brahmā, with his four mouths, will proclaim the meaning with the ancient word of the Veda,' by reciting 'Indra became a beast,' etc." Thus it is proven that the meaning is different than the Veda.

I.9. [Vimalaprabhā 2.7.161, comment on Śrī Kālacakra 2.161.]

Furthermore, [the brahmans] claim it is true that brahmans are born from the mouth of Brahmā. Thus I ask, "Are female brahmans also born from that?" If so, then they are [the brahmans'] sisters because they originate from the same womb. The same is true for the kshatriyas and so forth. How could one marry one's sister? If one did one would adopt

the barbarian dharma. By adopting the barbarian dharma one's race is degraded. By degrading one's race one goes to hell. That is the [brahmanical] law.

I.10 [Śrī Kālacakra 2.168 and Vimalaprabhā 2.7.168.]

Now, "A living being," etc., states a [Tāyin] refutation [of the doctrine that one] experiences [the results of] previous karma [in the present life] and accumulates present karma [for the future]:

Śrī Kālacakra 2.168

[Buddhists claim:] A living being experiences previously created karmas [in the present life], and [the karmas created] in the present in another life. [The Tāyin reply:] If this were so, men could not destroy karma because of [their] repeated other lives. There would be no exit from samsara, and no entrance to liberation, because of limitless existences. The rejection of other lives is indeed the belief of the Tāyin.

[The Tāyin] believe [the Buddhist doctrine that] a living being experiences previously created karmas [in this life], and [the karmas] created in this life in another life [is false]. If such were the case, [they say,] men could not destroy karma because they would experience the results of karma in repeated other lives. Thus there would be no exit from samsara, and no entrance to liberation, because of limitless existences. That is indeed the belief of the Tāyin. However, [the *tantra*] says, "the rejection of other lives." The barbarian Tāyin believe that a dead man experiences happiness or suffering in heaven or hell with that human body in accordance with ar-Raḥmān's law. Thus, the rejection of other lives is [their] precept. ||168||

I.11. [Vimalaprabhā 3.1.3. This verse is part of a discussion that asserts the superiority of monk vajrācāryas over house-holder vajrācāryas.] Just as sinners get angry when they see a red-clad [monk], Buddhists fond of white-clad [lay vajrācāryas] are enamored of the barbarian dharma.ll

I.12. [Vimalaprabhā 3.1.19c; comment on $Sr\bar{i}$ Kālacakra 3.19c. This passage appears in a section describing the postures used when performing the eight magical rites.]

"Demon" refers to the demonic sitting posture. For [the magical rite of] killing [one sits in] the demonic sitting posture, [with limbs drawn in] like the retracted legs of a tortoise.

I.13. [Śrī Kālacakra 3.94ab and Vimalaprabhā 3.4.94ab; see also Paramārthasamgraha p. 16; GNOLI and OROFINO 1994: 172.]

[An initiate of the Kālacakra tantra] may not follow ... the dharma of the lord of the demons.

[An initiate of the Kālacakra tantra] may not follow the demonic dharma, i.e., the barbarian dharma.

I.14. [Vimalaprabhā 5.3 (comment on Śrī Kālacakra 5.58).]

From beginningless time sentient beings have been *tīrthikas*, fond of the dharmas of the gods, ghosts, and demons, deprived of the path of the Omniscient [Buddha], observing [the brahmanical law of] four castes or [the Muslim law of] one caste, craving enjoyment of a heavenly reward, proponents of a Creator and a Self.

Among them, the proponents of [Vedic] scriptural authority observe the dharma of the gods and the manes; they are proponents of a God, a Self, and casteism.

The barbarians observe the demonic dharma; they are proponents of a Creator, a soul, and are free of casteism. The barbarians have two dogmas: the dogma of [the body being] an aggregation of particles, and the dogma of an epiphenomenal person. They believe: "If there is no epiphenomenal person (*upapattyangika-pudgala*) dwelling within the physical body that is composed of an aggregation of particles, then who takes up another body when the body consisting of an aggregation of particles is destroyed? Thus, there is a spontaneously generated person (*upapāduka-pudgala*). That proves that the heavenly reward is the reward of nirvana – there is no so-called 'nirvana' other than the heavenly reward."

When [the barbarians] ask about reality, the Bhagavān [Buddha] who knows reality, knowing their own beliefs, says [in the *Bhārahāra-sūtra*]: "There is a person who bears the burden; I do not say it is permanent, I do not say it is impermanent."⁵² That is indeed true, because it is the statement of the Bhagavān; one is unable to say that the person [who is a product of] mental propensities in the dream state is impermanent or permanent. Due to this statement of the Tathāgata, [the barbarians] abandon the barbarian dharma and become Buddhist Vaibhāṣikas.

^{52.} This quotation is cited in Prakrit; likewise at VP (S) U I.266.9-10; in Sanskrit at VP (S) U I.54.3-4, trans. NEWMAN 1987: 422. Bu ston's annotation identifies it as coming from the *Bhārahāra-sūtra* (*Khur khur ba'i mdo*), on which see PRUDEN 1991: 1367, n. 71.

Furthermore, some hear the transcendental Dharma being taught to the bodhisattvas, abandon the dogma of a person, and resort to the path of the true, perfect Buddha.

I.15. [Vimalaprabhā 5.3.]

At the termination of the age [Kalkin Cakrin] will see the absolute antireligion of the barbarians. He will become as still as a mountain. With the meditative concentration of the supreme horse he will radiate numberless supreme horses that will dismay the barbarians, thus establishing them in his own Dharma. He will eradicate their dharma, not kill them.

II.1. [Śrī-Kālacakra-tantrottara-Tantrahŗdaya-nāma.] ... the Tāyin who vow to eat flesh...

II.2. [Śrī-Kālacakra-tantrottara-Tantrahrdaya-nāma.]

... furthermore, Muhammad (*madhumatī; ma dhu ma ti), the lord of the goblins (*rākṣasendra; srin po'i dban po), will appear.

II.3. [Śrī-Kālacakra-tantrottara-Tantrahrdaya-nāma.]

... also, in Makka one's own son takes one's brother's daughter [in marriage].

II.4. [Śrī-Kālacakra-tantrottara-Tantrahrdaya-nāma.]

The cavalry of the Tāyin, engaged in war, will entirely destroy shrines (*devālaya; lha rten) that display buddhas, bhairavas, men, women, and snakes. They belong to a single caste, and do not take [each] other's property; they speak the truth and practice hygiene. Their youths avoid others' wives, and upholding the precepts of asceticism, they resort to their own wives.

On earth and in heaven the lord of darkness (*tamasvīndra; mun can dban po) only protects those Tāyin and tīrthikas who, having washed, prostrate to the worshipped Rāhu (*istarāhu; 'dod pa'i sgra gcan) five times each day at noon, afternoon, evening, night, and sunrise.

In fierce battles [he] protects [them] like a father [protecting his] sons and servants. Since the kshatriyas will not fight, [the $T\bar{a}yin$] king, having worshipped the terrifying [deity] with the flesh of birds and beasts, will cut off [the kshatriya] lineages by harming various sentient beings and pillaging others' wealth.

II.5. [Śrī-Kālacakra-tantrottara-Tantrahrdaya-nāma.]

O Sūrya,⁵³ in the future when the seed of Brahman [- *brahmabījam; tshans pa'i sa bon; i.e., the syllable OM representing the Veda, or else the brahman race -] is about to be destroyed, if one worships the earth-protector [Kalkin Raudra Cakrin] the barbarians and $t\bar{t}rthikas$ will be destroyed in battle.

II.6. [Śrī-Kālacakra-tantrottara-Tantrahrdaya-nāma.]

In the future the descendents, relatives, and men of the lord of the barbarians Muhammad (*madhumatī; ma dhu ma ti) will destroy sixty-eight temples of the sacred sites (*sthānam; gnas) and pilgrimage places (*ksetram; źin) on earth.

In eighteen hundred [?] years, in Makka (*makha; ma kha), etc., [and in India,] the land of the Aryans, I [Kalkin Yaśas, having reincarnated as Kalkin Raudra Cakrin,] will annihilate the barbarians and the god of darkness (*tamasvin; mun can) whom they imagine to be the sun.

O Sūrya, I will place living beings in happiness by establishing them in [the Dharma] through the rite of the three refuges in the Buddha, Dharma, and Sangha. Then I will go from Kalāpa [in Sambhala] to Tuşita, the supreme abode of the gods.

When XXXXXXX⁵⁴ years have elapsed, men will again become barbarians for eighteen hundred [?] years. Again and again I will appear in that *kaliyuga* to destroy them.

II.7. [Śrī-Kālacakra-tantrottara-Tantrahrdaya-nāma.] I have taught about ... the Tāyin...

III.1. [Svadarśanamatoddeśa]

... the thing to be held and the holder, the thing to be killed and the killer, for the mantra of Bismillāh (*viṣavilla; bi śi bi lla), Viṣṇu and Bhairava protect.

- 53. The Tantrahrdaya, like the Śrī Kālacakra, is buddhavacanam, but it was redacted by Yaśas, the first Kalkin of Sambhala. In this passage Yaśas addresses Sūryaratha, leader of the brahman sages of Sambhala.
- 54. nam mkha' mkha' dan dus dan dban po mig gis dus kyi dus. I am unable to decipher this number. Tibetan dus could stand for Sanskrit kāla (3), yuga (4), or rtu (6); see ŚK (S) B, p. 267, appendix 1.

III.2. [Svadarśanamatoddeśa]

North of the [Himalaya] snow mountains the kings in Tibet and so forth eat cattle. To the west [of India] the barbarian kings kill cattle and birds (*dvija; gñis skyes) as well.

IV.1. [Śrī-Paramārthasevā]

(1) If you assert (*kila; grags pa na) that brahmans are born from the mouth of Brahmā, then are female brahmans also born from that very place? If both are born from the same womb, then it is unlawful for [such] brothers and sisters to marry. (2) If they do, they become barbarian people. If they become barbarians, it degrades their caste. If they destroy their caste, [they experience] fierce suffering in hell. This obtains for those [brahmans] because they are attached to their own caste.

IV.2. [Śrī-Paramārthasevā]

(1) Others, for happiness in heaven, cut the skin from the tips of their own penises. The T \bar{a} yin must eat at the end of the day and during the night. (2) They do not consume the flesh of animals that die due to their own karma. Having killed [animals], they eat them; [they believe that] if men do otherwise they do not go to heaven.

PART 3: SANSKRIT TEXTS AND TIBETAN TRANSLATIONS

I. Śrī Kālacakra and Vimalaprabhā.

[VP (S) B is a superb manuscript copied early in the 12th century CE, about a century after the composition of the *Vimalaprabhā* – it is the earliest extant witness for this text. Because the early Kālacakra literature self-consciously employs irregular grammar and spelling (see NEWMAN 1988), I reproduce VP (S) B's orthography and *sandhi* except for missing *avagrahas* and irregular doubling of consonants; I have supplied most of the *dandas*.]

I.1. Vimalaprabhā 1.3 [VP (S) B 10b3-6; U I.27.15-28.3]

anyathā astasate varse gate¹ sati yusmatputrapautrādayo mlecchadharme pravrttim krtvā sambhalādisannavatimahāvisayesu mlecchadharmadesanām karisyanti | mlecchadevatāvisavimlāmantreņa² kartrikayā grīvāyām pasum hatvā tatas tesām svadevatāmantrenāhatānām pasūnām māmsam bhakşayişyanti svakarmaņā mṛtānām māmsam abhakşyam³ karişyanti l so 'pi dharmo yuşmākam pramāņam yāgārthe⁴ paśavah sṛṣṭā iti smṛtivacanāt mlecchadharmavedadharmayor viśeşo nāsti prāņātipātatah l tasmāt yuşmatkule putrapautrādayas teşām mlecchānām pratāpam dṛṣṭvā samgrāme māradevatāvatārañ ca⁵ anāgate 'dhvany aṣṭavarṣaśate gate sati mlecchā bhaviṣyanti l teṣu mleccheṣu jāteṣu satsu ṣaṇṇavatikoṭigrāmanivāsino 'pi caturvarṇādayaḥ sarve mlecchā bhaviṣyanti mahājano yena gataḥ sa panthā iti brahmarṣivacanāt l iha mlecchadharme vedadharme 'pi devatāpitrartham prāṇātipātaḥ kartavyaḥ kṣatradharme 'pi ca tarpayitvā pitṛn devān khādan māmsam na doṣabhāg iti brahmarṣivacanāt⁶ l tathā doṣan tatra na⁷ paśyāmi yo duṣṭe duṣṭam ācared iti l evam vedadharmam pramāṇīkṛtya mlecchadharmaparigraham kariṣyanti l tena kāraṇenānāgate 'dhvani mlecchadharmāpravesāya yuṣmadbhyo mayā niyamo dattaḥ l tasmād bhavadbhir mamājñā kartavyeti l

v.l.: 1) U: varșagate. 2) U: -vișavilvā-. 3) U: abhakșam. 4) U: yāgārthāh. 5) U: -āvatāram vā. 6) U: brāhmaņa-. 7) B: omit na.

VP (T) 1.356.5-357.5 [This is Bu ston Rin chen grub's (1290-1364) revised and annotated edition of Son ston rDo rje rgyal mtshan's translation, the translation that appears in the *bsTan 'gyur*.]

I rnam pa gźan du na lo brgyad brgya 'das pa'i rjes la khyed kyi bu dan tsha bo la sogs pas kla klo'i chos dar bar byas nas sambha la sogs pa'i yul chen po dgu bcu rtsa drug tu kla klo'i chos ston par byed par 'gyur ro || kla klo'i lha [ma | bi smin ra ma lha'i zer] bi și mi lla'i snags kyis phyugs kyi ske gri gug gis bcad de I de nas (add: ran gi) lha'i snags kyis bsad pa'i phyugs de rnams kyi sa za bar byed cin ran gi las kyis si ba rnams kyi śa za bar mi byed par 'gyur ro II chos de yań khyed rnams la tshad ma ste | mchod sbyin don du phyugs dag [bsad pa] spros || ses pa'i gźuń gi tshig las so || kla klo'i chos dań rig byed kyi chos dag la yań khyad par med de | srog gcod par byed pa'i phyir ro || de'i phyir khyed kyi rigs kyi bu dan tsha bo la sogs pa rnams kyis kla klo de dag gi gzi byin dan g.yul du bdud kyi lha 'jug pa yan mthon nas ma 'ons pa'i dus lo brgyad brgya 'das pa na kla klor 'gyur ro || de dag kla klor gyur pa na gron bye ba phrag dgu bcu rtsa drug na gnas pa'i rigs bźi la sogs pa thams cad kyan kla klor 'gyur te l skye bo chen po gan nas bgrod pa de ni lam mo źes tshańs pa'i drań sroń gis smras ba'i phyir ro || 'dir kla klo'i chos dan rig byed kyi chos la yan lha dan pha mes kyi don du srog gcod par bya ba yin la I rgyal rigs kyi chos la yan no II lha dan pha mes

tshim byas na (*read*: byas nas) || śa zos skyon gyi cha yod min || źes pa dań | de bźin du | gań źig gdug la gdug spyod pa || de la skyon ni ma mthoń ňo || źes bram zes smras ba'i phyir ro || de ltar rigs byed (*read*: rig byed) kyi chos chad mar (*read*: tshad mar) byas nas kla klo'i chos yońs su 'dzin par 'gyur te | rgyu des na ma 'ońs pa na kla klo'i chos mi 'jug par bya ba'i phyir khyed rnams la bdag gis ńes pa byin no || de bas khyed rnams kyis bdag gi bka' bźin bya'o źes so |

I.2. Śrī Kālacakra 1.26 and Vimalaprabhā 1.9.26 [VP (S) B 31a7-31b3; U I.77.1-19]

idānīm sambhalavisaye manjusriya utpādāya¹ mlecchadharmotpādāt jyotisasiddhāntavināsalaghukaraņapravrttitathāgatavyākaraņam ādyābdādityādinā vitanomīti

Śrī Kālacakra 1.26

ādyābdāt satšatābdaih prakata yašanrpah sambhalākhye bhavişyat tasmān nāgaih šatābdaih khalu makhavişaye mlecchadharmapravrttih | tasmin kāle dharaņyām sphutalaghukaraņam mānavair veditavyam siddhāntānām vināšah sakalabhuvitale kālayoge bhavişyat² || 26 ||

ādyābdāt satšatābdaih prakata yašanrpah sambhalākhye bhavisyad iti | ādyeti dharmadeśanāvarsam tathāgatasva | tasmād varsāt satśatābdaih śītānadyuttare sambhalanāmni visaye yaśa ity āgamapāthah mahāyaśo³ mañjuśrīh prakato bhavisyad iti4 nirmānakāyagrahanam karisyatīty arthah | tasmān nāgaih śatābdair iti tasmād yaśaso nirvrtāt | nāgair ity astavarsaśataih khalv iti niścitam makhavisaye mlecchadharmapravrttir bhavişyati | śītāyā5 daksine makhavisaye kotigrāmavibhūsite mlecchānān tāvinām asuradharmapravrttir bhavisyati | tasmin mlecchakāle dharanyām sphutalaghukaranam mānavair veditavyam | siddhāntānām vināśa iti siddhantam brahma sauram yamanakam romakam iti | esam caturnam vināśah6 siddhāntānām vināšah | sakalabhuvitale iti sakala iti yatra tīrthikasiddhāntā nivartante7 tatra sakalam bhuvitalam sītādaksinam tasmin bhuvitale | na sambhalādivisayesu bauddhasiddhāntasya vināśa iti kālayoge bhavisyad iti kālayogo⁸ mlecchadharmah tena siddhāntānām yogah kalayogah tasmat kalayogad bhavisyat⁹ | kalayoga iti pañcamyarthe saptamī |

<sup>v.l.: 1) U: utpādanam. 2) U: 'bhavişyat. 3) U: mahāyaśā. 4) U: bhavişyati. 5) U: śītā-.
6) U: vināsam. 7) U: varttante. 8) U: kālah. 9) U: bhavişyati.</sup>

VP (T) 1.477.1-478.3

Il [gnas pa bcu gsum pa] da ni lo 'di nas ni źes pa la sogs pas śambha la'i yul du 'jam dpal 'byuń ba dań l kla klo'i chos byuň nas [mu stegs kyi] skar rtsis kyis (*read*: kyi) grub pa'i mtha' rnam par ñams pa dań ñuń 'nu'i byed pa rab tu 'jug par de bźin gśegs pas luń bstan pa bdag gis dgrol bar bya'o l

(ŚK (T) 1.9.4-5:)

l lo 'di nas ni drug brgya'i lo yis gsal bar mi bdag grags pa sambha la źes bya bar 'byun l

l de nas klu yi lo brgya rnams kyis nes par ma kha'i yul du kla klo'i chos dag rab tu 'jug l

l de yi dus su 'dzin ma la ni ñun nu'i byed pa gsal bar mi rnams dag gis rig par bya l

l mtha' dag sa gźi la ni grub pa'i mtha' mams mam par ñams pa dus kyi sbyor ba la 'byun' gyur || 26

l lo 'di nas ni drug brgya'i lo yis gsal bar mi bdag grags pa śambha la źes bya bar 'byun | źes pa la | lo 'di źes pa ni | de bźin gśegs pas [dus kyi 'khor lo'i] chos bstan pa'i lo ste | lo de nas lo drug brgya na chu bo śī ta'i byan sambha la zes bya ba'i yul du grags pa [ya sa zes pa rnam dbye med par byas pa] źes pa ni | luń gi brjod pa ste [sdeb sbyor gyi dbań yin gyi don la ya śah 'o ||] | 'jam dpal grags pa chen po gsal bar 'byuń źes pa | sprul pa'i sku 'dzin par mdzad par 'gyur źes pa'i don to || de nas klu'i lo brgya rnams kyis ses pa ni l grags pa[s lo brgya chos bstan pa'i rjes la] mya nan las 'das pa de nas | klu źes pa lo brgyad brgya na | nes par źes pa ni gdon mi za bar | ma kha'i yul du kla klo'i chos dag rab tu 'jug par 'gyur te | chu bo śī ta'i lho phyogs [sog yul] ma kha'i yul gron bye bas rnam par brgyan par l kla klo stag gzig rnams kyi lha ma yin gyi chos rab tu 'jug par 'gyur ro || kla klo de'i dus su 'dzin ma la ni [mu stegs pa'i] ñun nu'i byed pa gsal bar mi rnams dag gis rig par bya'o || grub pa'i mtha' rnams rnam par ñams pa źes pa ni l tshans pa dan ñi ma dan gcer bu pa rnams dan sgra gcan gi grub pa'i mtha' ste | 'di bźi rnam par ñams pa ni l grub pa'i mtha' rnams rnam par ñams pa'o || mtha' dag sa gźi la ni żes pa la | mtha' dag ni | gań du mu stegs pa'i grub pa'i mtha' źugs pa de mtha' dag go | sa gźi ni chu bo śī ta'i lho ste | sa gźi der ro l śambha la sogs pa'i yul rnams su sans rgyas pa'i grub pa'i mtha' rnam par ñams pa ni ma yin no II dus kyi sbyor ba la 'byun 'gyur źes pa la I dus kyi sbyor ba ni I kla klo'i chos te I de yis [mu stegs kyi] grub pa'i mtha' rnams la sbyor ba ni dus kyi sbyor ba las 'byun bar 'gyur ro Il dus kyi sbyor ba la źes pa ni I [las źes lna pa dgos pa la I la źes bdun pa byas pas na |] lha pa'i don la bdun pa'o |

I.3. Śrī Kālacakra 1.27a and Vimalaprabhā 1.9.27a [VP (S) B 31b7-32a2; U I.78.8-22]

idānīm laghukaraņam tāvad ucyate vahnau khe 'bdhau vimiśram iti l

Śrī Kālacakra 1.27a

vahnau khe 'bdhau vimiśram prabhavamukhagatam mlecchavarsam prasiddham

iha dhruvako 'nityas tantrarāje şastisamvatsarānte punar dhruvaracanād' iti l iha tathāgatakālāt şadvarşasatair manījusrīkālah karaņe dhruvah l tasmād astasatavarşaih mlecchakālah l tasmāt mlecchakālāt dvyasītyadhikasatena hīno 'jakalkīkālo yenājena laghukaraņam visodhitam l sa eva kālah karaņe dhruvakam bhavati mlecchavarşād iti l prabhavamukhagatam iti prabhavo mukham ādir yeşām şastisamvatsarānām te prabhavamukhāh l teşu pratyekavartamānavarşasya pūrvavarşam prabhavamukhagatam iti l tan miśram tryadhikacatuhsatarāšau² mlecchavarşam prasiddham bhavati l ekavarşam ādim krtvā yāvat şastivarşam tāvad vimisram prabhavamukhagatam bhavatīti l tad eva varşam sarvakaranāntare prasiddham bhavaty³ ādityādivāravat l tena misram⁴ tryadhikacatuhsatavarşarāsau iti mlecchavarşam prasiddham l mleccho madhumatī rahmaņāvatāro mlecchadharmadesako mlecchānām tāyinām guruh svāmī l

v.l.: 1) U: dhruvakaranād. 2) U: -satavarsam rāsau. 3) U: bhavatīty. 4) U: vimisritam.

VP (T) 1.480.1-481.3

| [gnas pa bcu bźi pa |] da ni re śig ñuń nu'i byed pa gsuńs pa |

(ŚK (T) 1.9.5-6)

| me mkha' rgya mtsho rnams la rab byun la sogs 'das pa rnams bsres kla klo'i lo ni rab tu grub |

me mkha' rgya mtsho rnams śes pa'o II rgyud kyi rgyal po 'di la nes pa ni I mi rtag pa ste I lo drug cu'i mthar slar yan nes pa 'god pa'i phyir ro II 'dir byed pa la nes pa ni I de bźin gśegs pa'i dus [mya nan las 'das nas źes pa la sogs pa I] nas lo drug brgya na 'jam dpal gyi dus so II de nas lo brgyad brgya na kla klo'i dus so II kla klo'i dus [nas bzun ba'i lo'i tshogs] de las brgyad cu rtsa gñis lhag pa'i brgya yis dman pa ni I rgyal dka' gan gis ñun nu'i byed pa['i don du] rnam par sbyon ba'i rigs ldan rgyal dka'i dus [nas bzun ba'i lo'i tshogs byed pas] so II dus de ñid ni I byed pa la nes par 'gyur te I [gan la sbyor na] kla klo'i lo las so I (N.B.: Here Bu ston annotates VP (T) with six verses quoted from the *Laghutantrațīkā* that list the years of the sexagenary cycle; see NEWMAN 1998: 344-45.) | rab byun la sogs 'das pa źes pa ni | lo drug cu po gan dag gi dan po rab byun yin pa de dag ni rab byun la sogs te | de dag las so sor da ltar ba'i lo'i sna ma'i lo ni rab byun la sogs 'das pa'o || de dag gsum lhag pa'i bźi brgya'i phun po la bsres pa ni | kla klo'i [mgo zug tshun chad kyi] lor rab tu grub par 'gyur ro || lo gcig dan por byas nas lo drug cu ji sñed pa de ñid ni | rab byun la sogs 'das pa rnams bsres su 'gyur ro || [rab byun gi] lo de ñid ni | byed pa gźan thams cad la [lo rnams kyi dan por] rab tu grags pa yin te | gza' ñi ma [res gza' bdun gyi dan por grags pa l] bźin no || gsum lhag pa'i bźi brgya'i lo yis phun po la de yis bsres pa ni | kla klo'i lor rab tu grub ste | kla klo ni | sbran rtsi'i blo gros te | rahma na'i 'jug pa kla klo'i chos ston pa po kla klo stag gzig rnams kyi bla ma dan rje bo'o |

I.4. Śrī Kālacakra 1.89d and Vimalaprabhā 1.9.89d [ŚK (S) B 23.4; VP (S) U I.119.23] devānām dānavānām ksititalanilaye raudrayuddham bhavisyat¹ ||

v.l.: 1) VP (S) U: bhavişyati.

[VP (S) B 46a2; U I.119.28-120.2]

devānām dānavānām mlecchānām kşititalanilaye vāgadāyām nagaryām raudrayuddham bhavişyati l

ŚK (T) 1.24.7-1.25.1

l lha rnams dag dan lha min rnams kyi 'khrug pa drag po sa gźi'i gnas rnams su ni 'byuń bar 'gyur l

VP (T) 1.554.4-5

[rtsod ldan gyi mjug] de'i dus su lha rnams dag dan lha min kla klo rnams kyi 'khrug pa drag po sa gźi'i gnas bā ga dā rnams su ni 'byun bar 'gyur te l

I.5. \hat{Sri} Kālacakra 1.154-155 [Note: I follow VP (S) U in numbering these verses; some MSS and the Tibetan translation given below omit verse 90 of this *pațala*, and thus number these verses as 153-154. My edition of these verses is based on the MS readings given in HOFFMANN 1969: 56-66; VP (S) U I.153.15-22; ŚK (S) V 338-39; ŚK (S) B 39.1-8; ŚK (S) T 19a6-19b3; NEWMAN 1987a: 594-616]

ardo nogho varāhī danubhujagakule tāmasānye 'pi pañca mūşešau śvetavastrī madhupati mathanī yo 'stamah so 'ndhakah syāt | sambhūtih saptamasya sphuta makhavişaye vāgadādau nagaryām yasyām loke 'surāmsī nivasati balavān nirdayo mlecchamūrtih ||154|| ustrāśvau gāś ca hatvā sarudhirapišitam suddhapakvam hi kificit gomāmsam sūtatoyam ghrtakatukasamam tandulam sākamisram | ekasmin vahnipakvam vanaphalasahitam yatra bhojyam narānām pānam cāndam khagānām bhavati narapate tatpadam cāsurānām ||155||

VP (T) 1.40.7-41.6 [Without annotations; for discussion of this and other Tibetan translations of these verses see NEWMAN 1987b: 594-616]

l ā dra a no gha dan phag Idan lha min lag 'gro'i rigs la mun pa can ni gźan yan lna l

l byi ba dban po gos dkar can dan sbran rtsi'i blo gros 'joms byed brgyad pa gan de mun pa can l

l gsal bar ma kha'i yul gyi bã ga dā sogs gron khyer du ni bdun pa yan dag skyes pa ste l

l gan du 'jig rten lha min yan lag stobs dan ldan par gnas śiń brtse ba med pa kla klo'i gzugs | 153

l rha mo rta dan ba lan bsad nas khrag dan bcas pa'i sa ni chun zad btsos pa 'ba' źig dan l

| ba lan śa dan dnul chu chu dan mar dan tsha ba mñam pa 'bras dan lo ma bsres pa ni |

l gcig tu me la btsos pa nags kyi 'bras bu dag dan bcas pa gan du mi rnams dag gi zas l

l btuñ ba bya rnams dag gi sgo nar 'gyur ro mi yi bdag po de ni lha min rnams kyi gnas i 154

I.6. Śrī Kālacakra 2.98-99 and Vimalaprabhā 2.5.98-99 [VP (S) B 78b5-79a6; U I.222.10-223.24]

idānīm bauddhānām asurāņām mlecchānām jñānotpattikāla ucyate jñāna ityādinā

Śrī Kālacakra 2.98

jñānotpattir jinānām ravidinasamaye cārdharātre nisānte madhyāhne cāsurānām sasinisisamaye nirgame vāsarasya¹ samyagjñāne vibhange prabhavati vacanam samskrtam prākrtam ca sāntam raudram ca karma tribhuvananilaye paurusam prākrtam ca || 98 ||

iha khalu trividho yogābhyāsah bauddha āsuro bhautas ca l tatra bauddho yogah sūnyatākaruņātmakah āsurah kalpanādharmah bhautiko dvihprakārah sāsvatarūpa ucchedarūpas ca l evam trividho yogī sa eva vidyate yasya tadyogābhyāsabalatvād² iti l teşu divābhāge bauddhayoginām jñānotpattih rātribhāge asurayoginām³ catuhsandhyārahitakāle⁴ bhautayogi-

nām jñānotpattir iti l atra kālavibhāgah jñānotpattir jinānām ravidinasamaye cārdharātre niśānte madhyāhne cāsurānām śaśiniśisamaye nirgame väsarasya1 | bhautānām anuktatvād api sandhyārahitakāle | atrārdharātre pūrvasandhyāyām vā jñānādhisthānam5 bhavati bauddhānām | asurānām madhyāhnasamdhyāyām astangatasandhyāyām6 vā jñānādhisthānam⁵ bhavati | bhūtānām aparacatuhpraharasandhyāyām divābhāge śāśvatajñānādhisthānam rātribhāge ucchedajñānādhisthānam | anayor bauddhāsurayor yathāsamkhyam samyakjñānam bauddhānām bhavati vibhangam taddharmavirodhi bhavaty asurānām | samyakjñānam divālokavat sarvadarśi | vibhangam jñānam rātryālokavat kincit sattvānām jīvanamaraņadaršīti7 | katham jñāyata ity8 āha dharmadešanāyā9 iti | iha samyagjñāne vibhange prabhavati vacanam samskrtam prākrtañ ceti l samyagjñānotpannānām samskrtam vākyam sarvarutātmakam iti | vibhangajñānotpannānām prākrtam vākyam bhavati deśakānām ekavisayabhāsāntareņeti | śāntakarmadeśakam10 bauddhānām jñānam sarvasattvakarunātmakam | raudrakarmadesakam11 asurānām jñānam tiryaksattvāpakāri māmsabhaksaņāyeti | tribhuvananilaye paurusam karma bauddhānām jñānam deśayati l ksitau prākrtam karma asurāņām jñānam deśayati | bhūtānām vimiśram karma deśayati prthivyām | iti jñānadeśanāniyamah || 98 ||

idānīm bauddhāsurayor bhuktikāla ucyate madhyāhnād ityādinā |

Śrī Kālacakra 2.99

madhyähnäd ardharätram dinanisisamaye bhuktikälas tayos ca annam gomämsabhojyam bahuvidharasadam pänam andasya sukram l raktam svetam ca vastram ravisasigativat svargapätälaväsah dharmo 'himsä ca himsä guruniyamavasäd vajradaityäsanam ca || 99 ||

iha pratidine madhyāhnād¹² ārabhyārdharātram yāvat dinasamayah¹³ | ardharātrād ārabhya madhyāhnaparyantam niśisamayah | tasmin dinaniśisamaye svasvasamayasya parārdhe bhuktikālah tayor bauddhamlecchayor yathāsamkhyam tapasvinām grhasthānām iti na¹⁴ niyamah | bauddhāsurayoh punah khānam pānam¹⁵ yathāsamkhyam annam viśistataram bauddhānām gomāmsasahitam mlecchānām | pānam yathāsamkhyam bahuvidharasadam mistam bauddhānām kukkutādīnām andasya śukram pānam¹⁶ mlecchānām iti | paridhānam yathāsamkhyam raktavastram bauddhānām śvetam mlecchānām tapasvinām grhasthānām na niyamah¹⁷ | tathā maranānte āvāso yathāsamkhyam ravišasigativad iti raver ūrdhvagatih¹⁸ candrasyādhogatih | tayor gativat svargavāso ravigatir bauddhānām pātālavāso 'surānām candragativad iti | tathā¹⁹ mūlatantre sekoddeśe bhagavān āha | adhaś candrāmrtam yāti marane sarvadehinām | ūrdhve sūryarajo²⁰ rāhuvijñānam bhāvalaksane || (Sekoddeša 86)

tathā dharmo yathāsamkhyam bauddhānām dharmo 'hinsā mlecchānām hinsā cakārāt bhūtānām | guruniyamavaśād²¹ bhāvanākāle iṣṭadevatāstutikāle yathāsamkhyam bauddhānām vajrāsanam praśastam²² mlecchānām daityāsanam praśastam cakārād aparam sāmānyam iti tasya na viddhir²³ na niṣedha iti | atra daityāsanam²⁴ bhūtale²⁵ vāmajānuprasārah²⁶ vāmapādordhvam²⁷ dakṣiṇapādah²⁸ | cakārād adhaḥ dakṣiṇapāda ūrdhvavāmapādatale²⁹ 'pi | cakārāt pṛṣṭhe kaṭiniṣaṇṇo 'pi iti³⁰ vajrāsanādikañ ca³¹ vakṣyamāṇe vaktavyam iti bauddhāsurakriyāniyamaḥ || 99 ||

v.l.: 1) U: vāsavasya. 2) U: -ratatvād; Tib.: dga' ba las. 3) U: āsura-. 4) U: -rahite kāle.
5) U & B [emendation]: vāgjñānādhiştānam; 1 follow Tib., which I believe reflects B's original reading. 6) U: aştangata-. 7) U: jīva-. 8) U: ity ata. 9) U: -deśanayā.
10) B: śāntam karma-. 11) B: raudram karma-. 12) U: madhyānad. 13) U: -samayam. 14) U places this na after tapasvinām. 15) U: khānapānam. 16) U: śukrapānam. 17) B reads yamah; marginal emendation adds ni-. 18) U: urddhvam gatih. 19) U: yathā. 20) U: sūryam rajo. 21) B: -vasāt. 22) B: prasastam. 23) B: nividher. 24) U: daityānām. 25) B: bhūtate. 26) U: -prasāratah.
27) U: vāmajānūrddhvam. 28) U adds: dakṣiṇajānūrddhvaprasāro vāmapādorddhvam dakṣiṇapādaś. 29) U: ūrddhvam pādatale. 30) U: kaṭiniṣaṇṇa iti; B: omit cakārāt pṛṣṭhe kaṭiniṣaṇṇo 'pi iti; marginal emendation adds, reading: katinisanno. 31) U: vajrāsanādi-.

VP (T) 2.143.2-146.7

I da ni ye śes śes pa la sogs pas sańs rgyas pa rnams dań lha min [ni kla klo dań rtag chad pa'i spyi yin pa'm | yań na lha min kla klo rnams śes 'gyur bcos | de ma yin na goń 'og 'gal lo ||] rnams dań kla klo rnams kyi ye śes skye ba'i dus gsuńs te |

(ŚK (T) 1.70.2-4)

 \mid ye śes skye ste rgyal ba rnams kyi ñi ma ñin mo'i dus su mtshan mo phyed dan mtshan mo'i mthar \mid

l lha min rnams kyi zla ba mtshan mo'i dus su ñi ma phyed dan ñin mo dag gi mjug tu'o l

l yan dag ye ses rnams (read: rnam) ñams dag la tshig ni legs sbyar tha mal dag tu rab tu 'gyur ba ste l

l źi dań drag po las te srid pa gsum gyi gnas su skyes bu ñid dań tha mal dag kyań no 11 98

l'dir nes par rnal 'byor goms pa rnam pa gsum sans rgyas pa'i dan l lha min gyi dan l'byun po'i 'o ll de la sans rgyas pa'i rnal 'byor ni l ston pa ñid dan sñin rje'i bdag ñid do ll lha min [kla klo rnams] gyi ni [blos kun] btags pa'i chos so ll 'byun po yi ni [mu stegs pa] rnam pa gñis te l

rtag pa'i no bo[r 'dod pa] dan chad pa'i no bo[r 'dod pa] 'o || de bźin du rnal 'byor de goms pa la dga' ba ñid las I de ñid gan la yod pa'i rnal 'byor pa rnam pa gsum mo || de rnams las [ye ses skye ba'i dus] ñin mo'i cha la sans rgyas pa'i rnal 'byor pa rnams kyi ye ses skye'o ll mtshan mo'i cha la lha min gyi rnal 'byor pa rnams kyi'o || thun mtshams bźi spańs pa'i [mtshams bźi'i] dus su 'byun po'i rnal 'byor pa rnams kyi ye śes skye'o II 'dir dus kyi cha ni I ye śes skye ste I rgyal ba rnams kyi ñi ma ñin mo'i dus su [ye ses skye ba ñin mo'i dus ni l] mtshan mo phyed [nas] dan mtshan mo'i mthar [te tho rans kyi ñi ma phyed kyi bar du'o ||] | lha min rnams kyi zla ba mtshan mo'i dus su [ye ses skye ste mtshan mo'i dus ni |] ñi ma phyed [nas] dan ñin mo dag gi mjug tu [ste nam phyed kyi bar du] 'o || 'byun po rnams kyi yan [rgyud du dnos su] ma brjod pa ñid las thun mtshams spans pa'i dus su'o ll 'dir mtshan mo'i phyed dam sna dro'i thun mtshams la sans rgyas pa rnams kyi ye ses lhag par gnas 'gyur [bas sans rgyas kyan tho rans sans rgyas pa lta bu'i] ro || ñi ma phyed dam nub par gyur pa'i thun mtshams la | lha min mams kyi ye ses [skye 'chi cun zad tsam mthon ba de] lhag par gnas par 'gyur ro || gźan thun bźi'i thun mtshams la 'byun po rnams kyi ste | ñin mo'i cha la ['byun po mams kyi] rtag pa'i ye ses lhag par gnas sin mtshan mo'i cha la chad pa'i ye ses lhag par gnas so || sans rgyas pa dan lha min 'di dag gi grans bźin du sans rgyas pa mams kyi yan dag ye ses su 'gyur ro || [sans rgyas pa] 'di'i chos dan 'gal ba rnam ñams ni | lha min rnams kyir (read: kyi) 'gyur ro ll yan dag ses (read: ye ses) ni l ñin mo'i snan ba bźin du thams cad mthon ba'o || rnam ñams ye śes ni | mtshan mo'i snaň ba bźin du sems can rnams kyi 'chi ba daň 'tsho ba cun zad mthon ba'o || ji ltar ses ze na | de'i phyir gsuns pa | chos ston pa['i rtags] las [ses] so zes pa ste l'dir yan dag ye ses rnam ñams dag la tshig ni legs sbyar tha mal dag tu 'gyur ba ste | źes pa ni | yań dag ye śes skyes pa [sans rgyas] mams kyis (read: kyi) legs par sbyar ba'i nag sgra thams cad kyi bdag ñid do || rnam ñams ye ses skyes pa rnams kyi tha mal gyi nag tu 'gyur te I ston par byed pa po rnams kyi yul gcig gi skad kyi khyad par gyis so || sans rgyas pa rnams kyi ye ses ni | [thog mtha' bar gsum dge ba ston pas |] sems can thams cad la ['tshe ba med pas |] sñin rje'i bdag ñid can źi ba'i las ston par byed pa po'o || lha min rnams kyi ye ses ni | sa bza' ba'i slad du dud 'gro'i sems can la gnod pa byed pa drag po'i las [bde sdug gi rgyur] ston par byed pa'o || srid pa gsum gyi gnas su sans rgyas pa rnams kyi ye ses ni | [bde sdug gi rgyur] skyes bu ñid kyi [ran ran gi] las ston par byed do || lha min [kla klo] rnams kyi ye ses ni sa la tha mal gyi las [ran gis ma byas pa rgyur] ston par

byed do || 'byun po [mu stegs pa] rnams kyi ni | sa la [de gñis ka] rnam par 'dres pa'i las ston par byed do || ye ses ston par byed pa'i nes pa'o || 98

da ni ñi ma gun zes pa la sogs pas sans rgyas pa dan lha min dag gi za ba'i dus gsuns te l

(ŚK (T) 1.70.4-5)

l ñi ma gun dan mtshan mo phyed nas ñin dan mtshan mo'i dus su de dag gis (read: gi) ní za ba'i dus l

l bza' ba zan dan ba lan sa ste btun ba rnam man bcud ster dag dan sgo na'i khu ba'o l

l gos ni dmar dań dkar po dag dań ñi ma zla ba'i bgrod pa bźin du mtho ris sa 'og gnas l

l chos ni 'tshe med 'tshe ba yan ste bla ma'i nes pa'i dban gis rdo rje lha min gdan yan no ll 99

| [ñin mtshan gyi tshul 'di ni kla klo'i 'dod lugs dan bstun gyi sans rgyas pa'i lugs ni 'di las ldog pa snar gyi bźin no ||] 'dir ñin źag so so la [yań na de rin] ñi ma gun nas brtsams nas [mdan] mtshan mo'i phyed kyi bar du ñin mo [sans rgyas pa'i za ba]'i dus so || [mdan] mtshan mo phyed nas brtsams nas [san] ñi ma gun gi mthar thug pa ni mtshan mo'i dus so Il ñin mo dan mtshan mo'i dus de la ran ran gi dus kyi phyed phyi ma ni grans bźin du sańs rgyas pa dań kla klo de dag gi dka' thub pa rnams kyi za ba'i dus te l khyim pa rnams kyi ni [za dus nes pa bstan pa l] ma yin no źes pa ste nes pa'o II sans rgyas pa dan Iha min dag gi bza' ba dan btun ba yan grans bźin du sans rgyas pa rnams kyi zan khyad par du 'phags pa ste | kla klo rnams kyi ba lan gi sa dan bcas pa'o || btun ba ni gran bźin du sans rgyas pa rnams kyi ['o ma la sogs pa] rnam man bcud ster źim pa ste | kla klo rnams kyi btun ba ni | bya gag [khyim bya] la sogs pa'i sgo na'i khu ba'o ll bgo ba ni l grans bźin du sans rgyas pa rnams kyi gos dmar po ste | kla klo pa rnams kyi ni | dkar po'o || {de rnams kyan] dka' thub pa rnams kyi ste | khyim pa rnams kyi ni nes pa med do || de bźin du śi ba'i mthar gnas ni | grańs bźin du ñi ma zla ba'i bgrod pa bźin du źes pa ste l ñi ma sten du bgrod cin zla ba 'og tu bgrod do II de dag gi bgrod pa bźin du [dge ba'i dban gis] mtho ris kyi gnas ñi ma'i bgrod pa ni | sans rgyas la (read: pa) rnams kyi ste | zla ba'i bgrod pa bźin du [mi dge ba'i dban gis] sa 'og gi gnas ni lha min rnams kyi'o ll de bźin du rtsa ba'i rgyud kyi dbań mdor bstan pa las bcom ldan 'das kyis gsuns pal

| [lha mi lha min la sogs pa |] lus can thams cad śi ba na [ste 'chi ba'i dus su] |

| zla ba bdud rtsi [byan chub kyi sems 'pho ba'i bag chags goms pa las] 'og tu 'gro |

| steň du ñi ma rdul ['gro la] [mi šes pa ma rig pa'i bag chags daň lhan cig stobs daň ldan pa'i grog (*read*: srog) daň bcas pa'i] sgra gcan |

| [rnam śes srid pa'i mtshan ñid do ||] rnam śes dnos [bha ba dnos po 'di bźin legs so ||] po'i mtshan ñid [de dge mi dge'i las kyis sprul pa'i skye ba srid pa gźan du 'gro ba] do ||

de bźin du chos ni | grańs bźin du sańs rgyas pa rnams kyi [sems can rnams la] 'tshe ba med pa'o || kla klo pa rnams kyi ni | 'tshe ba ste | yań yig las 'byuń po rnams kyi'o || bla ma'i ńes pa'i dbań gis sgom pa'i dus dań 'dod pa'i lha la bstod pa'i dus su grańs bźin du sańs rgyas pa rnams la rdo rje'i gdan bsňags śiń | kla klo pa rnams la [de ma thag ston pa'i] lha min gyi gdan sňags so || yań yig las gźan ni | thun moń ba ste | de ni mi sgrub ciń mi 'gog go || 'dir lha min gyi gdan ni | sa'i ńos la pus mo g.yon gyi steň du pus mo g.yas brkyań źiń rkań pa['i byin pa] g.yon gyi steň du rkań pa['i byin pa] g.yas pa'o || yań yig las [rkań pa bsgyur te rkań pa g.yas pa] 'og tu ste | rkań pa g.yas pa'i steň du [rkań pa g.yon pa] 'o || rkań pa'i mthil du yań | yań yig las rgyab tu dbyi bźag pa'o || rdo rje'i gdan la sogs pa ni | 'chad par 'gyur pa las brjod par bya'o || sańs rgyas pa dań lha min gyi bya ba ńes pa'o || 99

I.7. $\hat{Sr}\bar{i}$ Kālacakra 2.158cd and Vimalaprabhā 2.7.158cd [VP (S) B 90a4-5; U 1.259.3-4, 11-15. The text of $\hat{Sr}\bar{i}$ Kālacakra 2.158cd given below is my edition based on the readings in \hat{SK} (S) V, U, B, T (f. 39b4-5; p. 78.4-5). This is 2.164cd in all three printed editions of the $\hat{Sr}\bar{i}$ Kālacakra. Verses 2.116-121 in the printed editions are clearly a late interpolation. BANERJEE (\hat{SK} (S) B p. 72) notes that they do not appear in his two best MSS, nor in the Peking, sDe dge, or sNar thang editions of the Tibetan translation. They are also absent from \hat{SK} (T). Cf. VP (S) U 1.232-33, note.]

idānīm mlecchatāyinām matam ucyate kartretyādi l

Śrī Kālacakra 2.158cd

kartrā srṣṭaṃ samastaṃ sacaram acarajaṃ tāyināṃ bhuktihetoḥ svargas tasya pratoṣād bhavati khalu nṛṇāṃ deśanā rahmaṇaḥ sā || 158 ||

iha kartrā rahmaņā srstam samastam sacaram jangamam acaram sthāvaram vastu tāyinām iti mlecchānām švetavāsinām bhuktihetoh | svargas tasya rahmaņah pratosāt apratosān narako bhavati khalu nrņām deśanā¹ rahmaņah sā | pūrvoktā² kriyeti tāyinām³ mataniyamah || 158 || v.l.: 1) U: *omit* deśanā. 2) U: pūrvokta-. 3) U: tāyi-.

VP (T) 2.203.2-5

da ni [sog po rā ma ņa (*read*: rahma ņa) dan] kla klo stag gzig rnams kyi 'dod pa gsuns pa l byed po źes pa la sogs pa ste l

ŚK (T) 1.83.7-84.1)

l byed po rgyu dan mi rgyu las skyes mtha' dag bskyed cin stag gzig rnams kyi thar pa dag gi rgyu l

l de mñes pa las nes par mi rnams mtho ris su 'gyur de ni rā hma ņa yi bstan pa'o II 158

'dir byed po rahma nas rgyu ba g.yo ba dan mi rgyu ba brtan pa'i dnos po mtha' dag skyed cin stag gzig rnams kyi źes pa kla klo gos dkar po can rnams kyi thar pa dag gi rgyu | [byed pa po] rahma nah de mñes pa las nes par mi rnams mtho ris dan | ma mñes pa las dmyal bar 'gyur ro źes pa de ni rahmah'i (*read*: rahma nah'i) bstan pa ste | bya ba ni [ye śes skye ba la sogs pa'i dus skabs su] snar brjod pa'o || stag gzig gi 'dod pa nes pa'o || 158

I.8. *Vimalaprabhā* 2.7.160b, comment on *Śrī Kālacakra* 2.160b (166b of printed eds.) [VP (S) B 90b4; U I.260.26-261.1]

kiñ cānyad iha kila śrūyate yadā vedābhāvo bhavati mlecchair vedadharme ucchādite sati tadā (ŚK 2.160b:) brahmā vaktraiś caturbhiḥ prakaṭayati purā vedaśabdena¹ cārthaṃ indraḥ paśur āsīd ityādipāṭheneti | ato 'rtho 'nyo vedo 'nya iti siddham |

v.l.: 1) B: -sabdenā.

VP (T) 2.206.3-5

gźan pa ci 'dir gan gi tshe [dan por rig byed yod kyan] kla klo rnams kyis rig byed kyi chos [bźi po rgya mtshor bskyur nas] bsgribs par gyur pa na rig byed med par gyur pa de'i tshe [tshans pas dran nas] tshans pa'i gdon bźi dag gis snon gyi rig byed [de slar] sgra dag gis kyan don ni rab tu gsal bar byas te I dban po phyugs su gyur ces pa la sogs [pa rig byed 'don] pa'i klog gis so źes zer ba thos so II de'i phyir don gźan dan rig byed gźan źes grub bo II

I.9. Vimalaprabhā 2.7.161, comment on Śrī Kālacakra 2.161 (2.167 of printed eds.) [VP (S) B 90b7-91a1; U I.261.17-21]

kiñ cānyad iha brahmamukhāt brāhmaņā jātāh kila satyam | atah prcchāmi kim brāhmanyo' 'pi tato jātāh | yadi syus tadā bhaginyo bhavanti ekayonisamutpannatvād iti | evam kşatriyādīnām api | vivāham bhaginyā sārdham bhavati katham | atha bhavati tadā mlecchadharmapravrttir bhavati | mlecchadharmapravrttau jātiksayah jātiksayān narakam iti nyāyah |

v.l.: 1) U: brāhmaņye.

VP (T) 2.207.5-208.2

I gźan pa ci I 'dir tshańs pa'i kha nas bram ze rnams skyes pa ni bden par grag go II de'i phyir bdag gis dri bar bya'o II bram ze mo rnams kyań [tshańs pa'i kha] de las skyes par gyur tam ci I gal te gyur na de'i tshe sriń mor 'gyur te I skye gnas gcig las skyes pa ñid kyi phyir ro II de bźin du rgyal rigs la sogs pa rnams kyań no II de'i phyir sriń mo dań lhan cig bag ma ji ltar byed I ci ste byed na de'i tshe [bram ze rnams] kla klo'i chos la źugs [par thal te sriń mo bag mar len pas] so II kla klo'i chos la źugs [par 'dod] na rigs ñams [par thal] te [I kla klo'i chos la źugs pa'i phyir ro] II rigs ñams pa las [bram ze rnams] dmyal ba ['gro ba]r 'gyur ro źes pa ni [bram ze'i] rigs pa'o II

I.10. Śrī Kālacakra 2.168 (2.174 of printed eds.) and Vimalaprabhā 2.7.168 [VP (S) B 93a7-93b1; U I.268.1-11] idānīm pūrvakarmopabhogavartamānakarmasañcayapratişedha ucyate jantur¹ ityādi |

Śrī Kālacakra 2.168

jantuh pūrvāni karmāny anubhavati krtāny aihikāny anyajātyā yady evam karmanāso na hi bhavati nrņām jātijātyantareņa | samsārān nirgamah syād aparimitabhavair naiva mokse pravešah² etad vai tāyinām tu prabhavati hi matam cānyajātiprahīņam || 168 ||

iha yeşām mate³ jantuh pūrvakṛtāni karmāni bhumkte iha janmani kṛtāny anyajātyā⁴ iti yady evam tadā karmanāso na hi bhavati nṛṇām jātijātyantareṇa karmaphalopabhogata iti | evan na samsārān nirgamah syād aparimitabhavair naiva mokṣe praveso bhavatīti | etad vai tāyinām prabhavati hi matam | kintv anyajātiprahīṇam iti tāyinām mlecchānām matam manuṣyo mṛtaḥ svarge vā narake vā 'nayā manuṣyamūrtyā sukham vā duḥkham vā bhumkte rahmaṇo niyameneti | ato 'nyajātiprahīṇam iti niyamaḥ || 168 ||

v.l.: 1) B: yantur. 2) U: mokşapravesa. 3) U: matam. 4) U: anyajātyām.

VP (T) 2.223.7-224.4

l da ni sna ma'i las ñe bar lons spyod pa dan l da ltar gyi las gsog pa la dgag pa gsuns pa l skye bo źes pa la sogs pa ste l

(ŚK (T) 1.86.1-3)

l skye bo snon gyi las rnams ñams su myon 'gyur 'di la byas pa rnams ni skye ba gźan la'o l

| gal te de lta yin na las ni 'jig par mi 'gyur mi rnams skye dan skye ba gźan dag gi (*read*: gis) |

l dpag med srid pa dag gis 'khor ba las ni 'byun bar 'gyur dan thar pa 'jug pa med pa ñid l

l'di dag stag gzig rnams kyan nes par 'dod par 'gyur te gźan pa'i rigs kyis rab tu dman pa'o || 168

'dir gan dag gi 'dod pa las | snar byas pa'i las rnams [skye ba 'dir] skye bo lons spyod cin | skye ba 'di la [las dge sdig] byas pa rnams ni skye ba gźan la'o źes so II gal te de lta yin na | de'i tshe las ni 'jig [pa dan zad] par mi 'gyur te | mi rnams skye dan skye ba gźan dag gi (*read*: gis) las kyi 'bras bu ñe bar lons spyod pa'i phyir ro II de ltar na [lam bsgoms kyan] dpag med srid pa dag gis 'khor ba las ni 'byun bar 'gyur ba med cin | thar par 'jug pa med pa ñid du 'gyur ro II 'di dag stag gzig rnams kyan nes par 'dod par 'gyur te | 'on kyan gźan pa'i rigs kyis rab tu dman pa'o źes pa la | kla klo stag gzig rnams kyi 'dod pa ni | mi śi ba dag | mtho ris sam dmyal bar mi'i lus 'dis bde ba'm sdug bsnal lons spyod de | [byed pa po] rahma na'i [mñes pa dan ma mñes pa] nes pas so źes pa'o | de'i phyir gźan pa'i [las byed pa po'i lus de ñid kyis byed par 'dod pa'i] rigs kyis rab tu dman pa'o źes pa ste nes pa'o II 168

I.11. *Vimalaprabhā* 3.1.3 [VP (S) B 96a6; U II.6.21-22] raktāmbaram yathā drṣṭā¹ dveṣam gacchanti pāpinaḥ | mlecchadharmaratā bauddhās tathā śvetāmbarapriyā || iti |

v.l.: 1) U: yadā drstvā.

VP (T) 2.244.2-5 [Note: parenthetical insertions are subannotations] | [khyim pa slob dpon du byed pa] gan [gi] tshe gos dmar [gyi dge slon] mthon gyur nal [I sdig can kla klo'i chos (la) dga' rnams II (gus pa med cin) źe sdan du 'gyur de yi tshe II sans rgyas pa rnams gos dkar dga' | (bas de bzun ste rab tu byun ba'i rtags 'jig par 'gyur ro I) I 'di bźin bsgyur na legs I] I źe sdan du 'gyur sans rgyas pa [rnams kyan] II sdig can kla klo'i chos la dga' II de tshe dkar po'i gos la mos [te dkar po'i gos bzun bas rtags ñams pas bstan pa ñams dmas par 'gyur ro II] II źes so II **I.12.** Vimalaprabhā 3.1.19c (comment on Śrī Kālacakra 3.19c) [VP (S) B 99b7-100a1; U II.18.1-2]

daityam iti daityäsanam märane ankakärakurmapädavad iti daityäsanam

VP (T) 2.262.1-2

I lha min źes pa ni I lha min gyi 'dug stańs te I [sa'i ńos la pus mo g.yon gyi steń du pus mo g.yas brkyańs źiń I rkań pa g.yon gyi steń du rkań pa g.yas pa'o II yań yig las 'og tu ste I rkań pa g.yas pa'i steń du'o II rkań pa'i mthil du yań yań yig las rgyab tu dpyi bźag pa'o II źes bśad la sā dhu pu tras lus bskums te rus sbal ltar gnas pa'i źes bśad ciń 'dir I] gsad pa la 'thap mo byed pa'i rus sbal gyi stabs bźin no źes pa ni I lha min gyi gdan no I

I.13. Śrī Kālacakra 3.94ab and Vimalaprabhā 3.4.94ab [VP (S) B 124b4; U II.88.25, 89.2-3] -daityendradharmam ... na kuryāt daityadharmam mlecchadharmam na kuryād iti

ŚK (T) 1.109.7-110.1 ... lha min dbań po'i chos ... mi bya'o |

VP (T) 2.386.2 ... lha min gyi chos ni | kla klo'i chos de mi bya'o źes pa |

I.14. Vimalaprabhā 5.3 (comment on Śrī Kālacakra 5.58) [VP (S) B 198b2-6; U III.86.5-17]

iha sattvā anādikāle tīrthikāh devabhūtāsuradharmaratāh sarvajñamārganastāh caturvarņaikavarņāśritāh svargaphalopabhogābhilāsiņah kartrātmavādinah | tesām śabdavādino devapretadharmāśritā īśvaravādinaś cātmavādinaś ca jātivādinaś ceti | mlecchā 'suradharmāśritāh kartŗvādino jīvavādino jātivādarahitāh | esām mlecchānām ubhayagrahah paramāņusandohagrahah upapattyangikapudgalagrahaś ceti | esām abhiprāyah yadi paramāņusamdohātmake śarīre antarvarty upapattyangikapudgalo nāsti paramāņusandohātmake kāye vinaste sati aparakāyagrahaņam kah karisyati | tasmād upapādukapudgalo 'sti | tena sādhitena svargaphalam nirvāņaphalam' bhavati svargaphalād aparam nirvāņam nāma na syād iti l tattvaprcchākāle tesām svacittābhiprāyam abhijīnāya tattvavidā bhagavatoktam atthi puggalo bhāravāho ņa ņiccam bhaņāmi nāņiccam bhaņāmīti

1 etad eva satyam bhagavato vacanāt svapnāvasthāyām cittavāsanāpudgalo nānityo na nityo vaktum² sakyate 1 anena tathāgatavacanena mlecchadharmam tyaktvā bauddhā vaibhāşikā jātāh 1 punar lokottaradharmam desyamānam srutvā bodhisattvānām iha pudgalagraham parityajya ke cit samyaksambuddhamārgam āsritā iti 1

v.l.: 1) B adds hā; emendation deletes; U: ca. 2) B: nityoktum; marginal emendation adds va.

VP (T) 3.172.4-173.5

l'dir sems can mams ni l thog ma med pa'i dus nas mu stegs pa l lha dan 'byun po dan lha ma yin gyi chos la dga' ba | thams cad mkhyen pa'i lam ñams pa | rigs bźi dan rigs gcig la brten pa | mtho ris kyi 'bras bu'i lons spyod mnon par 'dod pa | byed pa po dan bdag tu smra ba po rnams te i de rnams las sgra smra ba po rnams ni i lha dan yi dwags kyi chos la brten pa rnams dan | dban phyug smra ba po rnams dan | bdag smra ba po rnams dan | rigs smra ba po rnams so || kla klo ni | lha min gyi chos la brten pa rnams dan | byed pa por smra ba po rnams dan | srog smra ba po rnams te | rigs smra ba dan bral ba rnams so || kla klo 'di rnams kyi 'dzin pa gñis ka ste | rdul phra rab tshogs pa'i 'dzin pa dan | skye ba'i cha śas can gyi gan zag gi 'dzin pa'o II 'di rnams kyi bsam pa ni I gal te rdul phra rab tshogs pa'i bdag ñid can gyi lus la nan du 'jug pa po skye ba'i cha sas can gyi gan zag med na I rdul phra rab tshogs pa'i bdag ñid kyi lus rnam par ñams pa'i rjes la lus gźan su yis 'dzin par byed par 'gyur I de'i phyir rdzus te byun ba'i gan zag yod do II de yis bsgrubs pas mtho ris kyi 'bras bu ni | mya nan las 'das pa'i 'bras bur 'gyur te | mtho ris kyi 'bras bu las gźan mya nan las 'das pa źes bya ba med do źes pa ste I de kho na ñid 'dri ba'i dus su de rnams kyi ran gi sems kyi bsam pa mnon par mkhyen nas I de ko na ñid smra ba po bcom Idan 'das kyis gsuńs pa | [khur khur ba'i mdo las] khur khur ba'i gan zag yod de | rtag pa dan mi rtag par bdag mi smra'o || źes pa'o || de ñid bden te | bcom ldan 'das kyis gsuns pa'i phyir ro || rmi lam gyi gnas skabs su sems kyi bag chags kyi gan zag ni l rtag pa dan mi rtag par brjod par mi nus so ll de bźin gśegs pa'i gsuń 'di yis kla klo'i chos spańs nas sańs rgyas pa'i bye brag tu smra ba rnams byun bar gyur to || de nas byan chub sems dpa' rnams la 'jig rten las 'das pa'i chos bstan pa thos nas l 'dir gan zag tu 'dzin pa yons su spans nas 'ga' žig yan dag par rdzogs pa'i sans rgyas kyi lam la brten to ll

I.15. Vimalaprabhā 5.3 [VP (S) B 203b7; U III.96.26-29]

punar yugāvasāne mlecchānām atyantādharmam drstvā śailavan niķkampo bhūtvā paramāśvasamādhinā 'nantān paramāśvān sphārayitvā tair mlecchānām cittāni drāvayitvā svadharme sthāpayişyati | teşām dharmotpāțanam karişyati na prānatyāgam |

VP (T) 3.200.5-7

I slar yan dus bźi'i mthar kla klo rnams kyi śin tu chos ma yin pa gzigs nas ri bo bźin du 'dar ba med par 'gyur te | rta mchog gi tiń ne 'dzin gyis rta mchog mtha'yas pa spros nas | de rnams kyis kla klo rnams kyi sems źum par byas te | rań gi chos la 'god par 'gyur źiń de rnams kyi chos 'joms par 'gyur gyi | srog gtoń ba ni ma yin no |

II. Śrī-Kālacakra-tantrottara-Tantrahrdaya-nāma [D bKa' 'gyur rGyud 'bum KA; P bKa' 'gyur rGyud KA. I mostly follow the readings in P because D appears to have been polished.]

II.1. [D 137a5; P 150a2] ... śa yi dam tshig can gyi stag gzig...

II.2. [D 137b4; P 150a8-150b1] ... gźan yań ma dhu ma ti srin po'i bdań po 'byuń l

II.3. [D 138b7; P 152a5] ... ma khar yan ni spun zla'i bu mo ran gi bus (bag mar len) |

II.4. [D 141b3-6; P 155a2-6]

l sans rgyas rnams dan 'jigs byed skyes pa bud med gdens can rnams kyi bstan pa lha rten gan l

l g.yul du źugs pa'i stag gzig rnams kyi rta yi tshogs kyis de rnams ma lus 'jig par byed |

l de rnams rigs gcig gźan gyi nor mi len ciń bden par smra dań gtsań spra rab tu 'jug l

gźon nu gźan gyi chuń ma spoń źiń dka' thub nes pa dan ldan ran gi chuń ma bsten |

khrus byas gan źig 'dod pa'i sgra gcan mtshan mo so so'i dus su ñin gun phyed yol dan l

srod dan thun gsum dag dan ñi ma ri la sar bar gyur nas lan lha¹ phyag byed cin l

| sa steńs² lha yul dag tu stag gzig mu stegs dag la mun can dbań pos gcig tu skyob |

| drag po'i g.yul nor pha ltar skyob par byed cin bu dan bran bźin 'gyur la rgyal po ni |

l rgyal rigs 'thab mo min pas³ gñis skyes phyugs kyi śa yis 'jigs byed las sogs⁴ mchod nas su l

l sna tshogs sems can gnod pa byed cin gźan gyi nor 'phrog pa yis gdun rgyud 'chad pa 'byun l

v.l.: 1) D: Inar. 2) D: sten. 3) D: mun pas. 4) D: la sogs.

II.5. [D 142a3; P 155b3]

l ñi ma gan du tshans pa'i sa bon ñe bar 'jigs par 'gyur ba des na dus phyi mar l

l sa gźi skyoń ba mchod na 'dir ni g.yul nor kla klo dań ni mu stegs 'jigs' par gyur l

v.l.: 1) P: 'jig.

II.6. [D 142a5-143b1; P 155b6-156a1]

l phyi nas sa steň son pa'i gnas daň žiň gi lha khaň¹ drug bcu² rtsa brgyad kla klo yi l

I mgon po ma dhu ma ti bu dan bu mo spun zla skyes pa rnams kyis 'jig par byed I

l der ni bcwa brgyad³ lo la brgya yis brgya la bsgyur bas ma kha las sogs⁴ 'phags pa'i yul l

| kla klo rnams dan lha gan mun can ñi mar rtogs pa gan de na yis brlag par bya |

l sańs rgyas chos dan dge 'dun rnams la skyabs gsum cho gas skye dgu rnams ni der bźag nas l

l bde la⁵ bkod de ka lā pa nas lha gnas dga' ldan mchog tu ñi ma 'gro bar bya l

| nam mkha' mkha' dan dus dan dban po mig gis dus kyi dus son pa yi⁶ |o yi tshad |

| slar yan skye bo rnams ni kla klo 'jug ste ji srid bcwa brgyad lo la bsgyur dan bcas pa'i bar |

l yan nas yan du na yis rtsod pa'i dus der byun nas de rnams gźig par bya ba ñid l

v.l.: 1) D: lha gan. D: drug cu. 3) D: bco brgyad. 4) D: la sogs. 5) P: bde ba. 6) D: dus su son pa'i.

II.7. [D 144a5; P 157b7-8] ... stag gzig rnams ... bdag gis bsten |

III. Svadarśanamatoddeśa [P gives the Sanskrit title of this text as *Pra-darśanumatoddeśaparīkṣā-nāma*. I follow the form given by Nāro in his *Paramārthasaṃgraha-nāma-sekoddeśațīkā* (p. 61.7). The text appears in P bsTan 'gyur rGyud 'grel PU, but not in D.]

III.1. [P 35b6-7] | ** bzuň bya 'dzin pa daň | | gsad bya daň ni gsod po daň | | bi śi bi lla'i sňags ched du | | khyab 'jug 'jigs byed skyob [*phyir] ro |

III.2. [P 50a2-3]
| gańs ri'i byań du sa skyońs rnams |
| bod sogs rnams su ba lań za |
| nub tu kla klo'i rgyal po rnams |
| ba lań gñis skyes rnams kyań gsod |

IV. Śrī-Paramārthasevā [D bsTan 'gyur rGyud NA; P bsTan 'gyur rGyud 'grel GA]

IV.1. [D 13b6-7; P 16b7-17a1]

I tshańs pa'i kha ñid las skyes bram ze grags pa na |
I bram ze mo yań gnas de ñid las yin nam ci |
I gal te 'di dag skye gnas gcig las skyes gyur na |
I miń po dań ni sriń mo bag mar rigs ma yin | (1)
I gal te yin na kla klo'i skye bo 'jug par 'gyur |
I kla klo 'jug par gyur na rigs ni ñams pas te |
I rigs zad na ni dmyal bar sdug bsňal mi bzad pa |
I raň gi rigs ni 'dzin phyir de dag la 'gyur ro | (2)

IV.2. [D 17b7-18a2; P 22a6-8]

l gźan rnams kyis kyan mtho ris bde ba'i rgyu ru ni l
l ran gi lin ga'i¹ rtse mo'i pags pa² gcod par byed l
l ñin mo'i mtha' dan mtshan mo'i sten du bza' ba ni l
l nes par stag gzig³ rnams kyis byed par 'gyur ba'o l (1)

l rań gi las kyis śi bar gyur pa'i phyugs rnams kyi⁴
l de yi śa ni ñe bar spyod par mi byed do l
l srog bcad rab tu byas nas de ñid za byed de l
l gźan du na ni mi rnams mtho ris 'gro ba med l (2)

v.l.: 1) P: lingā'i. 2) P: lags pa. 3) P: stag gzir. 4) P&D: kyis.

References & abbreviations

Primary Sources in Sanskrit and Tibetan

D	sDe dge bKa' 'gyur and bsTan 'gyur. Delhi: Delhi Karmapae Chodhey 1980 ff.
Mahābhārata	Vishnu S. Sukthankar, ed. <i>The Mahābhārata</i> , Volume 4. Poona: Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute 1942.
Manusmṛti	Manusukharāya Mora, ed. <i>Manusmṛti</i> . Gurumaṇḍalagranthamālā 24. Havaḍā: Udayācala Press 1967.
Р	Daisetz T. Suzuki, ed. The Tibetan Tripitaka: Peking Edition. Tokyo- Kyoto: Tibetan Tripitaka Research Institute 1955 ff.
Padma dkar p	 bo 1575: Chos 'byung bstan pa'i padma rgyas pa'i nyin byed. In Collected Works (gSun-'bum) of Kun-mkhyen Padma-dkar-po vol. 2. Pp. 1-619. Darjeeling: Kargyud Sungrab Nyamso Khang 1973.
dPa' bo gTsug	lag phreng ba 1545: rDo rje rgyal po, ed. Chos 'byung mkhas pa'i dga' ston, 2 vols. Beijing: Mi rigs dpe skrun khang 1986.
Paramārthasa	<i>mgraha</i> : Mario E. Carelli, ed. <i>Sekoddeśațīkā of Nadapāda (Nāropā).</i> Gaekwad's Oriental Series no. 90. Baroda: Oriental Institute 1941.
Sekoddeśa	Giacomella Orofino, ed. Sekoddeša: A Critical Edition of the Tibetan Translations with an Appendix by Raniero Gnoli on the Sanskrit Text. Serie Orientale Roma LXXII. Rome: Istituto Italiano per il Medio ed Estremo Oriente 1994.
ŚK (S) B	Biswanath Banerjee, ed. A Critical Edition of Śrī Kālacakratantra- rāja (Collated with the Tibetan Version). Calcutta: The Asiatic Society 1985.
ŚK (S) T	Lokesh Chandra, ed. Sanskrit Manuscripts from Tibet (Facsimile Edition of the Kālacakra-tantra and of an Unidentified Palmleaf Manu- script, both from Narthang Monastery). Śata-piṭaka Series vol. 81. New Delhi: International Academy of Indian Culture 1971. [MS is palmleaf; old Bengali script; dated parinirvāṇa 1808 and Śaka 1186 = 1263/64 CE.]
ŚK (S) V	Raghu Vira and Lokesh Chandra, ed. Kālacakra-tantra and Other Texts, Part 1. Šata-pițaka Series vol. 69. New Delhi: International Academy of Indian Culture 1966.
ŚK (T)	Lokesh Chandra, ed. <i>The Collected Works of Bu-ston</i> , Part 1 (KA). Pp. 1-299. Sata-pițaka Series vol. 41. New Delhi: International Acade- my of Indian Culture 1965.
VP (S) B	Asiatic Society of Bengal, Calcutta, MS no. G.10766. [Palmleaf; old Bengali script; dated 39th regnal year of Harivarmadeva of Bengal (reigned ca. 11th-12th centuries CE).]

 VP (S) U (1) Jagannatha Upadhyaya, ed. Vimalaprabhāţīkā of Kalki Śrī Puņḍarīka on Śrī Laghukālacakratantrarāja by Śrī Mañjuśrīyaśa [Vol. 1]. Bibliotheca Indo-Tibetica no. XI. Sarnath: Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies 1986.

(2) Vrajavallabh Dwivedi and S.S. Bahulkar, ed. Vimalaprabhāţīkā of Kalkin Śrīpuņdarīka on Śrīlaghukālacakratantrarāja by Śrīmañjuśrīyaśas [Vol. II]. Rare Buddhist Texts Series 12. Sarnath: Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies 1994.

(3) Vrajavallabh Dwivedi and S.S. Bahulkar, ed. Vimalaprabhāţīkā of Kalkin Śrīpuņdarīka on Śrīlaghukālacakratantrarāja by Śrīmañjuśrīyaśas [Vol. III]. Rare Buddhist Texts Series 13. Sarnath: Central Institute Higher Tibetan Studies 1994.

- VP (T) Lokesh Chandra, ed. *The Collected Works of Bu-ston*, Part 1 (KA), Part 2 (KHA), Part 3 (GA). Śata-pitaka Series vol. 41-43. New Delhi: International Academy of Indian Culture 1965.
- Yājñavalkyasmŗti: T. Ganapati Sastri, ed. The Yājñavalkyasmŗti with the Commentary Bālakrīda of Visvarūpāchārya. New Delhi: Munshiram Manoharlal Publishers 1982.

Secondary Sources

- al-Hamdani, Abbas H. 1956: The Beginnings of the Ismā'īlī Da'wa in Northern India (Now Pakistan). Cairo: Dar Al Maaref.
- Apte, Vaman Shivaram 1986: The Practical Sanskrit-English Dictionary. Kyoto: Rinsen Book Company reprint.
- Daftary, Farhard 1990: The Ismā'īlīs: Their History and Doctrines. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- de Jong, J.W. 1974: "Notes on the Bhiksunī-Vinaya of the Mahāsāmgikas". In Buddhist Studies in Honour of I.B. Horner. Dordrecht.
 - --- 1990: "Buddhism and the Equality of the Four Castes". In *Earliest Buddhism and Madhyamaka*, ed. David Seyfort Ruegg and Lambert Schmithausen. P. 58. Panels of the VIIth World Sanskrit Conference vol. II. Leiden: E.J. Brill.

Doniger, Wendy 1991: The Laws of Manu. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books.

- Edgerton, Franklin 1972: Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit Dictionary. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass reprint.
- EI¹ M. Th. Houtsma et al., ed. *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*. Leiden: E.J. Brill 1913-38.
- EI H.A.R. Gibb et al., ed. The Encyclopaedia of Islam: New Edition. Leiden: E.J. Brill 1960 ff.
- Eimer, Helmut 1979: rNam thar rgyas pa: Materialien zu einer Biographie des Atisa (Dīpaņkarasrījñāna), 2 vols. Asiatische Forschungen 84. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.

- Ernst, Carl W. 1992: Eternal Garden: Mysticism, History, and Politics at a South Asian Sufi Center. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Ganguly, D.C. 1979: "Ghaznavid Invasion". In The History and Culture of the Indian People, Volume V, The Struggle for Empire, ed. R.C. Majumdar. Pp. 1-23. Bombay: Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan.
- Gimaret, Daniel 1969: "Bouddha et les bouddhistes dans la tradition musulmane", Journal Asiatique 257: 274-316.
- Gnoli, Raniero and Giacomella Orofino 1994: Nāropā: Iniziazione Kālacakra. Biblioteca Orientale 1. Milan: Adelphi Edizioni.
- Grönbold, Günter 1992: "Heterodoxe Lehren und ihre Widerlegung im Kālacakratantra", Indo-Iranian Journal 35: 273-97.
- Halbfass, Wilhelm 1988: India and Europe: An Essay in Understanding. Albany: State University of New York Press.
 - 1991: Tradition and Reflection: Explorations in Indian Thought.
 Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Hoffmann, Helmut H.R. 1960: "Manichaeism and Islam in the Buddhist Kālacakra System". In Proceedings of the 1Xth International Congress for the History of Religions, Tokyo and Kyoto 1958. Pp. 96-99. Tokyo: n.p.
 - 1969: "Kālacakra Studies I: Manichaeism, Christianity, and Islam in the Kālacakra Tantra", Central Asiatic Journal 13: 52-73. "Kālacakra Studies I: Addenda et Corrigenda", Central Asiatic Journal 15 (1971-72) 298-301.
 - -- 1971: "The Tibetan Names of the Saka and Sogdians", Asiatische Studien / Études Asiatiques 25: 440-55.
- La Barre, Weston 1970: The Ghost Dance: Origins of Religion. New York: Dell Publishing Co.
- Lawrence, Bruce B. 1976: Shahrastānī on the Indian Religions, Religion and Society 4. The Hague: Mouton & Co.
- Lorenzen, David N. 1972: The Kāpālikas and Kālāmukhas: Two Lost Śaivite Sects. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Mayrhofer, Manfred 1956: Kurzgefasstes etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindischen, Band 1. Heidelberg: Carl Winter.
- Nihom, M. 1984: "Notes on the Origin of Some Quotations in the Sekoddeśaļīkā of Nadapāda", Indo-Iranian Journal 27: 17-26.
- Newman, John 1985: "A Brief History of the Kālacakra". In The Wheel of Time, ed. Geshe Lhundub Sopa. Madison: Deer Park Books. Reprint Ithaca: Snow Lion Publications 1991. Pp. 51-90.
 - 1987a: "The Paramādibuddha (the Kālacakra mūlatantra) and Its Relation to the Early Kālacakra Literature", Indo-Iranian Journal 30: 93-102.
 - -- 1987b: The Outer Wheel of Time: Vajrayāna Buddhist Cosmology in the Kālacakra Tantra. University of Wisconsin - Madison PhD dissertation.

- 1988: "Buddhist Sanskrit in the Kālacakra Tantra", Journal of the International Association of Buddhist Studies 11.1: 123-40.
- 1995: "Eschatology in the Wheel of Time Tantra". In Buddhism in Practice, ed. Donald S. Lopez, Jr. Pp. 284-89. Princeton Readings in Religions. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- 1996: "Itineraries to Sambhala". In *Tibetan Literature: Studies in Genre*, ed. José Ignacio Cabezón and Roger R. Jackson. Pp. 485-99.
 Studies in Indo-Tibetan Buddhism. Ithaca: Snow Lion.
- 1998: "The Epoch of the Kālacakra Tantra", Indo-Iranian Journal 41: 319-49.

O'Flaherty, Wendy Doniger 1981: The Rig Veda. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books.

- Orofino, Giacomella 1997: "Apropos of Some Foreign Elements in the Kālacakratantra". The Proceedings of the 7th Seminar of the International Association of Tibetan Studies 1995, General Editor: Ernst Steinkellner. Vol. II. Wien: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, pp. 717-724.
- Pingree, David 1981: Jyotihśāstra: Astral and Mathematical Literature. A History of Indian Literature VI.4. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.
- Pollock, Sheldon 1993: "Rāmāyaņa and Political Imagination in India", *The Journal of Asian Studies* 52.2: 261-97.
- Pruden, Leo M.1991: Abhidharmakośabhāsyam by Louis de La Vallée Poussin, Vol. IV. Berkeley: Asian Humanities Press.
- Roerich, George 1959: Biography of Dharmasvāmin (Chag lo tsa-ba Chos rje-dpal) A Tibetan Monk Pilgrim. Patna: K.P. Jayaswal Research Institute.
- Sachau, Edward C. 1989: Alberuni's India: An account of the religion, philosophy, literature, geography, chronology, astronomy, customs, laws and astrology of India about AD 1030. Delhi: Low Price Publications reprint.
- Sircar, Dines Chandra 1983: Select Inscriptions Bearing on Indian History and Civilization From the Sixth to the Eighteenth Century A.D., vol. 11. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
- Smith, Jane I. 1973: "Early Muslim Accounts of Buddhism in India", Studies in Islam 10: 87-100.
- Stern, S.M. 1949: "Ismā'īlī Propaganda and Fatimid Rule in Sind", Islamic Culture 23: 298-307.
- Talbot, Cynthia 1995: "Inscribing the Other, Inscribing the Self: Hindu-Muslim Identities in Pre-Colonial India", Comparative Studies in Society and History 37.4: 692-722.
- Thapar, Romila 1974: A History of India: Volume One. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books.
 - -- 1971: "The Image of the Barbarian in Early India", Comparative Studies in Society and History 13.4: 408-36.

- 1989: "Imagined Religious Communities? Ancient History and the Modern Search for a Hindu Identity", *Modern Asian Studies* 23.2: 209-31.
- Tucci, Giuseppe 1940: Travels of Tibetan Pilgrims in the Swat Valley. Calcutta: The Greater India Society.
- Warder, A.K. 1980: Indian Buddhism, Second Revised Edition. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
- Wijayaratna, Mohan 1990: Buddhist Monastic Life According to the Texts of the Theravāda Tradition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wink, Andre 1990: Al-Hind: The Making of the Indo-Islamic World, Volume 1: Early Medieval India and the Expansion of Islam, 7th-11 Centuries. Leiden: E.J. Brill.
- Wylie, Turrell V. 1982: "Dating the Death of Nāropa". In Indological and Buddhist Studies in Honor of J.W. de Jong, ed. L.A. Hercus et al. Pp. 687-92. Canberra: Faculty of Asian Studies of the Australian National University].